# UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

GCE Advanced Subsidiary Level and GCE Advanced Level

MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2006 question paper

# 9716 FRENCH (A LEVEL)

**8682/03, 9716/03** Paper 3 (Essay), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

The grade thresholds for various grades are published in the report on the examination for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses.

CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2006 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

age 2	Mark Schen GCE A/AS LEVEL – OC		Syllabus 8682, 9716	3
	Language (out of 24)	Conte	<b>nt</b> (out of 16)	
Very goo	d	Very good		
Confident generally	use of complex sentence patterns, accurate, extensive vocabulary, se of idiom.	Detailed, clearly rele coherently argued a	evant and well illuind structured.	strated
Good		Good		
quite a fev	sound grasp of grammar in spite of v lapses; reads reasonably; some varied vocabulary.	Sound knowledge a some ability to deve conclusions.		
Adequate		Adequate		
	y to be simple, clumsy or laboured; ree of accuracy; inappropriate use	Some knowledge, b more limited capaci	•	vant; a
Poor		Poor		
	tly simple or pedestrian sentence vith persistent errors; limited y.	Some attempt at arg sketchy or unspecifi structure an argume misunderstanding o	c; little attempt to ent; major	e
Very poor	r	Very poor		
Only the simplest sentence patterns, little evidence of grammatical awareness, very limited vocabulary.		Vague and general, random.	ideas presented	at

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus
	GCE A/AS LEVEL – OCT/NOV 2006	8682, 9716

## 1. QUALITY OF LANGUAGE

#### **ACCURACY**

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus
	GCE A/AS LEVEL – OCT/NOV 2006	8682, 9716
	1. QUALITY OF LANGUAGE	
	ACCURACY	•
	Accurate use of grammatical constructions, ending, spelling	Syllabus 8682, 9716 ag, genders, etc.
ery Good	Consistently accurate. More errors towards I minor significance. Correct productive use or addition, accurate use of more complex structure prepositions, word-order).	fall GCSE grammar and, in
Good	Higher incidence of error than above, but clear grammatical elements in spite of lapses. Con GCSE grammar, and some capacity to use a structures.	rrect productive use of all
dequate	Fair level of accuracy. Common tenses and formed. Basic verb constructions mastered, GCSE grammar can be used productively. Duse of prepositions.	but not always clear that
oor	Persistent errors in tense and verb forms; pre Evident that there are considerable limitations GCSE grammar, and no ability to go beyond	s to the productive use of
ery Poor	Little or no evidence of grammatical awarene incomplete or incorrect. Consistent and repe spelling, verb form, etc. No ability for produc Most sentences contain at least one basic mi	eated errors in gender, tive use of GCSE grammar.

## **FLUENCY**

Quality and sophistication of syntax, sentence structure, but not its accuracy.

Very Good	Confident use of complex sentence patterns and linguistic structures and idiom. Uses language articulately and fluently, with at least the beginnings of a sense of style.
Good	Quite ambitious in use of complex sentence pattern, though not always successful in choosing the appropriate pattern to maintain style. Genuine effort to rise to the demands of fluent expression. Has not quite the flair and confidence of the best candidates, but essay reads easily.
Adequate	Expression rather forced, a little clumsy, perhaps somewhat anglicised but with some attempt at variety. Writing is coherent and sequential, but there is a limited range of sentence patterns with no real sense of style; the general impression that it is worthy but rather laboured.
Poor	Almost all sentence patterns are simple, with little use of subordinate clauses. Considerable degree of anglicism. Expression very forced and pedestrian.
Very Poor	Only able to produce the simplest sentence patterns. No subordinate clauses. Lack of coherent and fluent expression in the language. Many examples of anglicism/translatese.

www.xtrapapers.com

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus
	GCE A/AS LEVEL – OCT/NOV 2006	8682, 9716

# **RANGE**

Credit for range of vocabulary and idiom etc. but penalty for ill-fitting accumulations of essay phrases

Very Good	Extensive vocabulary, appropriately used. Very good sense of idiom. Some use of pre-learned expressions, but well integrated into the whole.
Good	Varied and interesting vocabulary, nearly always appropriately used. Some evidence of appreciation of idiom, and some ability to integrate prelearned items of idiom into the whole.
Adequate	Some variation in choice of vocabulary, ability to use synonyms, etc. Some use of less common words but not always appropriate or correct use. No real appreciation of idiom, and a high level of use of pre-learned items not fully digested.
Poor	Frequent repetition of common words. Little variety of vocabulary and no sense of idiomatic use. Occasional anglicisms.
Very Poor	Very limited vocabulary. Frequent anglicisms and incorrect use of vocabulary. Pre-learned idioms not integrated into the remaining linguistic content.

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus
	GCE A/AS LEVEL – OCT/NOV 2006	8682, 9716

#### 2. CONTENT

#### INFORMATION/FOCUS/RELEVANCE

		Syllabus 8682, 9716 nse to the question.
Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus
	GCE A/AS LEVEL – OCT/NOV 2006	8682, 9716
	2. CONTENT	, G
	INFORMATION/FOCUS/RELEVANCE	
Quality o	f material, ability to make clear and relevant respo	nse to the question.
ery Good	Detailed answer, showing extensive knowled understanding of the issues raised. Clearly supported by specific examples and reference.	relevant to the question, and
Good	Good points made, showing a sound knowl understanding of the issues. Generally ma and supports the factual statements with a references.	intains relevance to the title,
Covers the basic factual content adequately, with a reasonable range of examples. Despite the knowledge displayed, the material presented is no always relevant to the question asked, and the relationship of information presented to essay theme is not always clear.		
Poor	Only limited knowledge of factual content, p with little specific reference. Makes some r major omissions in the treatment of the sub	elevant points, but there are
/ery Poor	Only generalised statements of a vague kin title set, or even no real attempt to answer to sketchy.	

## ORGANISATION/STRUCTURE/ARGUMENT

Ability to use paragraphs, introduce and develop ideas, and to draw conclusions.

Very Good	Well-planned and structured essay with a clear introduction to the theme, a coherent argument and the ability to evaluate material and draw conclusions.
Good	Competent structure to the essay, with logical progression of ideas in paragraphs. Some ability to develop an argument and draw conclusions.
Adequate	Ideas generally quite well structured and a limited ability to organise into paragraphs and develop the argument. Limited capacity to draw conclusions from the material presented.
Poor	Little attempt to structure the work. There may be some sequence in the ideas and facts presented, but in general a weakness in paragraphing and no real build up of an argument to a conclusion.
Very Poor	Ideas presented at random. Sequence illogical, with no introduction, no development of an argument and no ability to draw conclusions.