

CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

Cambridge Ordinary Level

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2015 series

2010 LITERATURE IN ENGLISH

2010/23

Paper 2 (Drama 23), maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2015 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus Paper
	Cambridge O Level – May/June 2015	2015

Assessment Objectives

The Assessment Objectives are evenly weighted across each question. The assessment objectives for the paper are:

- AO1** show detailed knowledge of the content of literary texts, supported by reference to the text
- AO2** understand the meanings of literary texts and their context, and explore texts beyond surface meaning to show deeper awareness of ideas and attitudes
- AO3** recognise and appreciate ways in which writers use language, structure, and form to create and shape meanings and effects
- AO4** communicate a sensitive and informed personal response

The Band Descriptors cover marks from 0 to 25, and apply to the marking of each question. They guide examiners to an understanding of the qualities normally expected of, or typical of, work in a band. They are a means of general guidance, and must not be interpreted as hurdle statements. For the purposes of standardisation of marking, they are to be used in conjunction with the Practice and Standardisation scripts discussed during the coordination meeting and with Team Leaders, as well as the question-specific notes.

BAND DESCRIPTORS TABLE

Band 1	25 24 23	Answers in this band have all the qualities of Band 2 work, with further insight, sensitivity, individuality and flair. They show sustained engagement with both text and task.
Band 2	22 21 20	<i>Sustains a perceptive, convincing and relevant personal response</i> • shows a clear critical understanding of the text • responds sensitively and in detail to the way the writer achieves her/his effects • integrates much well-selected reference to the text
Band 3	19 18 17	<i>Makes a well-developed, detailed and relevant personal response</i> • shows a clear understanding of the text and some of its deeper implications • makes a developed response to the way the writer achieves her/his effects • supports with careful and relevant reference to the text
Band 4	16 15 14	<i>Makes a reasonably developed relevant personal response</i> • shows understanding of the text and some of its deeper implications • makes some response to the way the writer uses language • shows some thoroughness in the use of supporting evidence from the text
Band 5	13 12 11	<i>Begins to develop a relevant personal response</i> • shows some understanding of meaning • makes a little reference to the language of the text • uses some supporting textual detail
Band 6	10 9 8	<i>Attempts to communicate a basic personal response to the task</i> • makes some relevant comments • shows a basic understanding of surface meaning of the text • makes a little supporting reference to the text
Band 7	7 6 5	<i>Some evidence of simple personal response</i> • makes a few straightforward comments • shows a few signs of understanding the surface meaning of the text • makes a little reference to the text
Band 8	4 3 2	<i>Limited attempt to respond</i> • shows some limited understanding of simple/literal meaning
Below Band 8	0 / 0–1	<i>No answer / Insufficient to meet the criteria for Band 8.</i>