www.xtrapapers.com



GCE

Ancient History

H407/12: Athens and the Greek world

Advanced GCE

Mark Scheme for Autumn 2021

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2021

H407/12

Annotations

Annotation	Meaning
BP	Blank Page
λ	Omission
SEEN	Noted
A01	AO1
A 02	AO2
A03	AO3
A04	AO4
IR	Irrelevant
✓	Correct point
KU	Knowledge and understanding
EVAL	Evaluation

Subject specific guidance

The Assessment Objectives targeted by each question and the maximum marks available for each Assessment Objective are given at the top of each levels mark scheme for each question.

The weightings of the assessment objectives remain consistent throughout the levels. For example, if the maximum marks are 5 AO1, 10 AO2 and 15 AO3, then the AO1/AO2/AO3 ratio will be 1/2/3 throughout the levels.

When marking, you must therefore give greater priority to the more heavily weighted Assessment Objective when determining in which level and where within a level to place an answer.

Section A: Relations between Greek states and between Greek and non-Greek states, 492-404 BC

Question 1* Assessment Objectives		To what extent do you agree that the Athenian victory in the Battle of Marathon (490 BC) changed the relationships between the Greek city- [30 marks] AO3 = 15 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their historical context to make judgements and reach conclusions about: historical events and historical periods studied how the portrayal of events by ancient writers/sources relates to the historical contexts in which they were written/produced. AO2 = 10 marks = Analyse and evaluate historical events and historical periods to arrive at substantiated judgements AO1 = 5 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the historical periods studied. Please note that while the descriptors for AO2 and AO3 are given separately in the levels, the analysis and evaluation of sources & historical events and historical periods may be combined in responses.		
Level	Marks	Level descriptors	Indicative content	
Level 5	25–30	 Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an excellent explanation that convincingly and very thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained, and well-developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated. 	Artaphernes' and Mardonius' measures concerning the cities of	

H407/12	2	Mark Scheme	October 2021
Level 4	19–24	 Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has a very good explanation that convincingly and thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated and developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and in the most part substantiated. 	 Athens and Eretria being the targets of the 492 expedition, but also the underlying aim of greater conquest – demand for earth and water and the various cities' responses Darius' motivations for the 490 expedition, including the role of Hippias and his supporters The effect of the result on the Greeks' perception of the Persians The debate at the Persian court and Xerxes' motivations Greek preparations during the 480s, including Themistocles The fear caused by Xerxes' preparations; Greek medizers Some candidates may also discuss some of the following later events: The aftermath of the Greek victory in 479; formation of the Delian League; the Egyptian Expedition; the Persia in the 420s; Persian involvement in the Ionian War
Level 3	13–18	 Response uses a range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw supported, plausible conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has a good explanation that convincingly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach supported judgements, though these are not consistently developed. (AO2) The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question through most of the answer. (AO1) There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. 	 Supporting source details may include: Herodotus 6.42-3 Herodotus 6.44, 48-9, 7.133 The Naqs-e Rustam inscriptions; Herodotus 6.94, 107; 7.1 Herodotus 6.112 Herodotus 7.8-10 Herodotus 7.144-5 Herodotus 7.6, 102 Herodotus 7.131-133, 138 Thucydides 1.96; Diodorus 11.46-7, 12.2.1-2, 12.4.4-6, 12.38.2; Thucydides 1.104, 109-110; Plutarch <i>Cimon</i> 13.4-5; Harpokration s.v. <i>Attikois grammasin</i>; Herodotus 7.151; Andokides 3.29; Aristophanes <i>Acharnians</i> 61-71; Thucydide 8.6, 18, Xenophon <i>Hellenica</i> 1.4.1-7

H407/12	Mark Scheme	October 2021
Level 2 7–12	 Response uses some appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw some supported conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an explanation that analyses and appraises historical events and periods, and this is linked appropriately to judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made fully explicit. (AO2) The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. The question is generally addressed, but the response loses focus in places. (AO1) The information has some relevance but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence, the relationship to the evidence may not be clear. 	 Some may decide that the Greek victories in 480-79 were more influential and that nothing really changed during the 480s, or even nothing much changed at all, but certain events meant the advantage swung back and forth throughout the fifth century. Although not expected, candidates may include non-prescribed mat which should be credited. Analysis of the sources might focus on: The methodology, agendas and contexts of the Greek and Pers sources and how these affect the value of the information The limitations of the evidence for Persian kings and their aims, strengths and abilities which are mostly from a Greek viewpoint Herodotus' reliability and that of his sources of information Herodotus' tendency to ascribe motivation to individuals – e.g. Mardonius persuading Xerxes to attack Greece when initially he had no intention of doing so (7.5)
Level 1 1–6	 Response uses a limited selection of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated in a basic way, and this is linked to basic, generalised judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced. There are some basic conclusions about the historical issue in the question, though these may only be implicitly linked with the analysis and evaluation of the sources. (AO3) The response has some explanation which analyses and appraises historical events and periods in places, and this is linked appropriately to some of the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements is not made explicit. (AO2) The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. The question is only partially addressed. (AO1) Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence. 	The limitations of the evidence for the events and issues of the period in Herodotus and Thucydides
0	No response or no response worthy of credit	

Quest	uestion 2* Between 431 BC and 411 BC, how far did the Athenians follow the strategy suggested by Pericles at the beginning of the Peloponn				
Assessment Objectives		[30 marks] AO3 = 15 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their historical context to make judgements and reach conclusions about:			
Addit guid		The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other l levels of response.	nistorically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line with the		
Level	Marks	Level descriptors	Indicative content		
Level 5	25–30	 Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an excellent explanation that convincingly and very thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained, and well-developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated. 	 No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with conclusion(s) either agreeing, disagreeing, or anywhere between providing the response has addressed the issue in the question. Responses should be marked in-line with the level descriptors. Candidates should consider the strategy Pericles outlines at the start of the War, give examples of the strategy the Athenians actually followed, and assess to what extent these accorded with Pericles' advice. They may consider Thucydides' judgement on the course of the War in 2.65. Answers are likely to include: Pericles' advice Maintaining the Empire and dealing with revolts (e.g. in the Chalcidice and at Mytilene Pylos The expedition to central Greece and battle at Delium Activities in the Peloponnese after the Peace of Nicias (421-418) The Sicilian Expedition – including Nicias' reasons for opposing it 		
Level 4	19–24	• Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically	, <u>,</u>		

H407/12	Mark Scheme	October 2021
Level 3 13–18	 reasoned, developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has a very good explanation that convincingly and thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated and developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and in the most part substantiated. Response uses a range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned judgements about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has a good explanation that convincingly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach supported, plausible conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has a good explanation that convincingly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach supported judgements, though these are not consistently developed. (AO2) The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question through most of the answer. (AO1) 	 Supporting source details may include: Thucydides 2.13, 2.65 Thucydides 4.19-20, 40-41 Thucydides 5.25-6, 43 Thucydides Books 6 (especially 6.12-13) & 7; 8.2 Although not expected, candidates may include non-prescribed material which should be credited. Analysis of the sources might focus on: The methodology, agendas and contexts of the Greek sources and how these affect the value of the information Thucydides' reliability and that of his sources of information The limitations of the evidence for the events and issues of the period in Thucydides
Level 2 7–12	 Response uses some appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw some supported conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an explanation that analyses and appraises historical events and periods, and this is linked appropriately to judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made fully explicit. (AO2) 	

		 The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. The question is generally addressed, but the response loses focus in places. (AO1) The information has some relevance but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence, the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.
Level 1	1–6	 Response uses a limited selection of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated in a basic way, and this is linked to basic, generalised judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced. There are some basic conclusions about the historical issue in the question, though these may only be implicitly linked with the analysis and evaluation of the sources. (AO3) The response has some explanation which analyses and appraises historical events and periods in places, and this is linked appropriately to some of the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements is not made explicit. (AO2) The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. The question is only partially addressed. (AO1) Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.
	0	No response or no response worthy of credit

H407/12		Mark Scheme	e	October 2021
Ques	tion 3	How convincing do you find T. Buckley's interpretation of the reasons why Athens lost the Peloponnesian War? [20 m		
	AC4 = 15 marks = Analyse and evaluate, in context, modern historians' interpretations of the historical events and topics studied. AC1 = 5 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the historical periods studied.		ed.	
Additional guidanceThe 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other historically valid content the levels of response.Additional guidancePlease note that interpretations can be evaluated in the context of the wider historical debate content 		der historical debate connected with the issue or of the his tation will be evaluated in the context of the methods or ap n which they were writing, though credit can be given for th ng the ancient sources may be credited, but only where it i	torical context about proach used by the is approach to	
Level	Marks	Level descriptors	Indicative content	
Level 5	17–20	 Response has a very through and sustained analysis of the interpretation, in context, to produce a convincing and fully substantiated evaluation in relation to the question. (AO4) The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1) 	No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the hig conclusion either agreeing or disagreeing with the moder interpretation, or anywhere between providing the respor the issue of 'how convincing'. Responses should be mark level descriptors. Answers should evaluate both the interpretation locating historical debate about the issue and using their own kno ancient sources and events and periods to reach a judge convincing they find the argument. In locating the interpretation within the wider historical might pick out the following points from the interpretation	n historians' nse has addressed ked in-line with the it within the wider owledge of the oment about how
Level 4	13–16	 Response has a through and sustained analysis of the interpretation, in context, to produce a convincing and well supported evaluation in relation to the question. (AO4) The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1) 	 might pick out the following points from the interpretation: Lysander had realised that the Spartans could only win th starving the Athenians into submission this could only be achieved by cutting off their grain supply Black Sea. The inexperience of the Athenian generals and the skill of brought about the total defeat of the Athenian navy at Aegos After that it was only a matter of time before the A surrendered Cyrus' wholehearted support of the Spartans, especially in th of Persian gold, proved to be the decisive factor in he Spartans to defeat the Athenians in the Ionian War. 	

H407/12	Mark Scheme	e October 2021
Level 3 9–12	 Response has a good analysis of the interpretation, in context, to produce a supported evaluation in relation to the question. (AO4) The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of historical features and characteristics that are relevant to the question. (AO1) 	 In evaluating the interpretation, answers might argue that this view is not convincing, pointing towards the following information / ancient sources: Thucydides (7.27) gives the occupation of Decelea as one of the chief reasons for the decline of Athenian power He also specifically mentions the Sicilian expedition as a mistake (2.65) The year after the defeat in Sicily saw everyone 'turn against Athens' (Thucydides 8.2); this meant loss of tribute The Athenians made other mistakes including banishing Alcibiades and condemning to death all her generals after the battle of Arginusae Thucydides (2.65) implies that it was poor decisions on the part of the
Level 2 5–8	 Response has some analysis of the interpretation, in context, to produce a partially supported evaluation in relation to the question. (AO4) The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. (AO1) 	 democracy, led by self-seeking politicians, which ultimately led to their defeat In evaluating the interpretation, answers might argue that this view is convincing, drawing on the following information / ancient sources: Despite the Sicilian disaster, Athens had still held on for eight years, despite the occupation of Decelea, based on her naval control of the Aegean (Thucydides 2.65) Athens rapidly surrendered after the final defeat of her navy at Aegospotamoi (Xenophon <i>Hellenica</i> 2.1.20-32) Xenophon's account supports the idea that this defeat was due to Lysander's skill and the inexperience of the Athenian commanders Cyrus recognised the importance of Lysander and refused to support
Level 1 1–4	 Response has a basic analysis of the interpretation, with parts of the answer just describing the interpretation. Response produces a very basic evaluation in relation to the question. (AO4) The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. (AO1) 	 Cyrds recognised the importance of Lysander and redused to support the Spartans without him (Xen. <i>Hell.</i> 1.6.6) The same year that Lysander was appointed (407) he secured funding from Cyrus (Xen. <i>Hell.</i> 1.5.1-3) and won a victory at Notion (leading to the banishment of Alcibiades) and the year he returned and Cyrus resumed payments (405) the Athenians were defeated Candidates might mention that Buckley says it was the 'decisive' factor, not the only one, and construct their discussion around that.
0	No response or no response worthy of credit	1

Section B: The Culture and Politics of Athens, c.460-c.399 BC

Question 4 How useful is this passage for our understanding of how the Athenians treated their allies?		their allies? [12 marks]		
Assessment Objectives Additional guidance		 AO1 = 6 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the historical periods studied. AO3 = 6 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their historical context to make judgements and reach conclusions about how the portrayal of events by ancient writers/sources relates to the historical contexts in which they were written/produced. The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other historically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line with the levels of response. 		
Level 6	11–12	 The response demonstrates an excellent range of accurate and very detailed knowledge and a very sophisticated depth of understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1) Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is thoroughly analysed and evaluated to reach substantiated, well-developed judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3) 	 No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with conclusion(s) either way as to the source's usefulness to understanding the issue in question providing the response has addressed the issue of how useful the passage is. Responses should be marked inline with the level descriptors. Candidates may discuss the following information in relation to contents of the source: 	
Level 5	9–10	 The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1) Response uses a good range of fully appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is thoroughly analysed and evaluated to reach developed judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question (AO3) 		
Level 4	7–8	 The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of historical features and characteristics that are fully relevant to the question. (AO1) Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is analysed and evaluated to reach developed judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3) 	• The Athenians deprive the good men of citizens rights take away their money, exile or execute	

H407/12		Mark Scheme	October 2021
Level 3 5	• 5–6	The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of historical features and characteristics that are relevant to the question. (AO1) Response uses a reasonable range of appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is analysed and evaluated to make some basic judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3)	 The usefulness of this passage in comparison/contrast to other sources which make reference to how the Athenians treated their allies, e.g.: Thucydides 3.36-50 (The Mytilene Debate): Mytilene had been governed by oligarchies ('good men') who had revolted Thucydides 3.36: Athenians vote to kill all adult
Level 2 3	• 3–4 •	The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. (AO1) Response uses a few appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is analysed and evaluated in a basic way to make some basic judgements about how the way the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question. (AO3)	 Thucydides 3.30. Attentions vote to kin an addit males in Mytilene Thucydides 3.37: Cleon claims that the Atheian empire was a tyranny and the subjects were always plotting against Athens Thucydides 3.50: In the end they relent, but still kill more than 1,000 of those 'chiefly responsible for the revolt' Old Oligarch 1.17-18: The Athenians profit from the allied cases which are tried in Athens in more than one way
Level 1 1	•	The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. (AO1) Response uses a few appropriate examples from the set of ancient sources. The set of sources is analysed and evaluated in a basic way but judgements about how the context in which the sources were produced impacts on them and their usefulness for the issue in the question are either not present or are not linked to analysis and are merely assertions. (AO3)	Old Oligarch 3.10: the Athenians side with the 'worst men' in the allied cities
	0 N	lo response or no response worthy of credit	1

Quest	tion 5*	'The teachings of the Sophists led to a moral and political decline in Athen	ns.' To what extent do you agree with this view? [36 marks]
Asses	sment	 AO3 = 18 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their histor historical events and historical periods studied how the portrayal of events by ancient writers/sources written/produced. 	
Objectives		AO2 = 12 marks = Analyse and evaluate historical events and historical periods to arrive at substantiated judgements	
		AO1 = 6 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the historical periods studied.	
		Please note that while the descriptors for AO2 and AO3 are given separately in the levels, the analysis and evaluation of sources & historical events and historical periods may be combined in responses.	
	tional ance	The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other historically with the levels of response.	orically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line
Level	Marks	Level descriptors	Indicative content
		• Response uses an excellent range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are very thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach very logically reasoned and well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated, very convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)	No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with conclusion(s) either agreeing, disagreeing, or anywhere between providing the response has addressed the issue of extent. Responses should be marked in-line with the level descriptors. Candidates should look at the teachings of individual sophists
Level 6	31–36	• The response has an excellent explanation that convincingly and very thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained, and well-developed judgements. (AO2)	 and Socrates, the effect of the sophists on rhetoric and hence politics, and the reception of the sophists in Athens. Answers are likely to include information on: Gorgias Hippias Protagoras Socrates, and how he claimed to be different from the sophists The reception of the sophists as shown in contemporal
		 The response demonstrates an excellent range of accurate and very detailed knowledge and a very sophisticated depth of understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated. 	
Level 5	25–30	• Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)	 and near contemporary writers especially Aristophanes, <i>Clouds</i> The influence of rhetoric on politics. The challenges to religion and religious practices

<u>H407/12</u>		Mark Scheme	October 202
Level 4	19–24	 The response has a very good explanation that convincingly and thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained and developed and judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information is relevant and in the most part substantiated. Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has a good explanation that convincingly and fully analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated and developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) 	Supporting source details may include: • Aristophanes <i>Clouds</i> : 92-118, 814-1302 • Aristotle <i>Rhetoric</i> : 1402a
Level 3	13–18	 Response uses a range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw supported, plausible conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an explanation that convincingly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach supported judgements, though these are not consistently developed. (AO2) The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question through most of the answer. (AO1) The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence. 	

H407/12		Mark Scheme
		• Response uses some appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw some supported conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)
Level 2	7–12	• The response has an explanation that analyses and appraises historical events and periods, and this is linked appropriately to judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made fully explicit. (AO2)
		• The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. The question is generally addressed, but the response loses focus in places. (AO1)
		The information has some relevance but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.
		• Response uses a limited selection of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated in a basic way, and this is linked to some basic, generalised judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced. There are some basic conclusions about the historical issue in the question, though these may only be implicitly linked with the analysis and evaluation of the sources. (AO3)
Level 1	1–6	• The response has some explanation which analyses and appraises historical events and periods in places, and this is linked appropriately to some of the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements is not made explicit. (AO2)
		• The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. The question is only partially addressed. (AO1)
		Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.
	0	No response or no response worthy of credit

Quest	tion 6*	How far do the sources allow us to assess the contribution of non-citizens	to Athenian society? [36 marks]
	sment ctives	 AO3 = 18 marks = Use, analyse and evaluate ancient sources within their histor historical events and historical periods studied how the portrayal of events by ancient writers/sources written/produced. AO2 = 12 marks = Analyse and evaluate historical events and historical periods AO1 = 6 marks = Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key feature Please note that while the descriptors for AO2 and AO3 are given separate historical events and historical periods may be combined in responses. 	s relates to the historical contexts in which they were to arrive at substantiated judgements and characteristics of the historical periods studied.
	tional ance	The 'Indicative content' is an example of historically valid content; any other hist with the levels of response.	orically valid content is acceptable and should be credited in line
Level	Marks	Level descriptors	Indicative content
Level 6	31–36	 Response uses an excellent range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are very thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach very logically reasoned and well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated, very convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an excellent explanation that convincingly and very thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained, and well-developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates an excellent range of accurate and very detailed knowledge and a very sophisticated depth of understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent and logically structured. The information presented is entirely relevant and substantiated. 	No set answer is expected. It is possible to reach the highest marks with conclusion(s) either agreeing, disagreeing, or anywhere between providing the response has addressed the issue in the question. Responses should be marked in-line with the level descriptors. Candidates should look at the various classes and types of non- citizens in Athens and the contributions they made to Athenian society. They should also assess the extent to which the information we have in the sources is, or may not be, complete. Answers are likely to include information on: Women Metics Slaves The contributions all the above could make politically, socially, economically, and militarily
Level 5	25–30	• Response uses a very good range of fully appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are thoroughly analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, well-developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw fully substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)	 Supporting source details may include: Aristophanes <i>Thesmophoriazusae</i> 786-800, 830-842 Euripides <i>Hippolytus</i> Old Oligarch 1.10-12 Plutarch <i>Pericles</i> 30, 32 Thucydides 2.45

		 The response has a very good explanation that convincingly and thoroughly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated, sustained and developed and judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a very good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a sophisticated understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information is relevant and in the most part substantiated. 	 Xenophon Memorabilia 2.2.2 Xenophon <i>Poroi</i> 2,1-2, 5 Metics' roles in architecture- Pheidias, Parrhasius Pausanias 1.28.2 Analysis and evaluation of the sources might focus on: The nature of the sources which are all male, and mostly contemporary Athenian citizens (an exception being Plutarch) Plays were performed by male actors (even female parts) before predominantly male citizen audiences, but
Level 4	19–24	 Response uses a good range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned, developed judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw substantiated and convincing conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has a good explanation that convincingly and fully analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach substantiated and developed judgements. (AO2) The response demonstrates a good range of accurate and detailed knowledge and a well-developed understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question throughout the answer. (AO1) There is a line of reasoning with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. 	 can highlight or satirize existing views and behaviours Discussion of the above should be in the context of 'how far allow'
Level 3	13–18	 Response uses a range of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach logically reasoned judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw supported, plausible conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3) The response has an explanation that convincingly analyses and appraises historical events and periods in order to reach supported judgements, though these are not consistently developed. (AO2) The response demonstrates a reasonable range of accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and a reasonable understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics. There is a consistent focus on the question through most of the answer. (AO1) 	

		The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence.
Level 2		• Response uses some appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated, to reach judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced, and to draw some supported conclusions about the historical issue in the question. (AO3)
	7–12	• The response has an explanation that analyses and appraises historical events and periods, and this is linked appropriately to judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made fully explicit. (AO2)
		• The response demonstrates a limited range of accurate knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though this may lack detail. The question is generally addressed, but the response loses focus in places. (AO1)
		The information has some relevance but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.
	1–6	• Response uses a limited selection of appropriate examples from the ancient sources. The sources are analysed and evaluated in a basic way, and this is linked to some basic, generalised judgements about how the way they portray events relates to the context in which they were produced. There are some basic conclusions about the historical issue in the question, though these may only be implicitly linked with the analysis and evaluation of the sources. (AO3)
Level 1		• The response has some explanation which analyses and appraises historical events and periods in places, and this is linked appropriately to some of the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements is not made explicit. (AO2)
		• The response demonstrates some limited knowledge and understanding of relevant historical features and characteristics, though lacking detail and in places inaccurate. The question is only partially addressed. (AO1)
		Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.
	0	No response or no response worthy of credit

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) The Triangle Building Shaftesbury Road Cambridge CB2 8EA

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627 Email: <u>general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk</u>

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

