

## AS LEVEL

*Examiners' report*

# ANCIENT HISTORY

**H007**

For first teaching in 2017

## **H007/01 Summer 2019 series**

Version 1

## Contents

Introduction .....	3
Paper 1 series overview .....	4
Section A overview .....	5
Question 1 .....	5
Question 2 .....	7
Section B overview .....	8
Question 3 .....	8
Question 4 .....	9

DOC



### Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this pdf as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on **File > Save As Other . . .** and select **Microsoft Word**

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for *pdf to word converter*).



### We value your feedback

We'd like to know your view on the resources we produce. By clicking on the icon above you will help us to ensure that our resources work for you.

## Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates. The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report. A full copy of the question paper can be downloaded from OCR.

## Paper 1 series overview

Overall the candidature seemed to cope well with the demands of the questions and there was evidence that the demands of this new specification were being met.

The vast majority of candidates coped well with the time constraints with very few examples seen of candidates demonstrably running out of time.

In all questions it is important that candidates keep to the precise terms especially sticking within any dates mentioned in the wording of the question.

## Section A overview

Question 1 requires no additional support from sources; a simple factual response to the issue which then goes on to make convincing judgements is all that is required. The central issues are wide enough for all candidates to score highly even by approaching the question in different ways.

Question 2 asks the candidates to extract relevant support from the passage(s) cited and to use supporting evidence from other relevant sources to address the question posed.

### Question 1

- 1 To what extent did Corinth influence Sparta's relationship with Athens during the period 446–431 BC? [10]

The responses were on the whole encouraging, showing good knowledge of the precise period in the question and the events in which Corinth was involved, including good knowledge of the Samos event, Corcyra, Potidaea, and the debate in Sparta. Understanding of Sparta's own position on Athens contrasted with Corinth's role showed substantiated analysis.

Common misconceptions involved the relationship of Corinth and Megara (outside the period) and Corinth's conflict with Athens in 450s being relevant; the assumption that Corinth's complaints to Sparta affected Sparta's view with little argument in support. Responses used material after 431 BC as if it was relevant, for example the situation after the Peace of Nicias in 421 BC.

## Exemplar 1

Athens and Sparta made a Thirty Year Truce in 445. However, terms of the treaty were not liked by Corinth and other Peloponnesian allies. The main term which they opposed was that Athens & Sparta signed the treaty on behalf of their allies, who still had to ~~stay~~ keep to the terms.

Samos revolted from Athens in 440. Corinth did not vote to get involved in the revolt, so due to the bicameral nature of the Peloponnesian League, it means that Sparta did. Here, Corinth is stopping Sparta angering Athens and actually influencing good relations between the two. Event at Epidamnus and Corcyra really damaged Athens' relation with Corinth, and therefore with Sparta. Athens made a defensive alliance with Corcyra, to help her against the ~~invad~~ attacking Corinthians. Corinth thought this was against the terms of the treaty, and this ~~later~~ provided reasons for war against Athens. <sup>also</sup> When Corinth was having problems with their colonies on the Ambracian

gulf, Athens involved herself. Although barely mentioned by Thucydides, this was another reason increasing Corinth's hatred of Athens. All this came to the forefront in 432 - known as the Corinthian complaint. Corinth told Sparta of the aggression of Athens and of the neglect of Sparta. Along the points I have mentioned previously, Corinth was able to greatly influence Sparta's anti-Athenian view, eventually get the whole of the Peloponnesian League, including Sparta, to vote for war against Athens. In conclusion, Corinth greatly influenced Sparta's declining relations with Athens in the period 446-431.

This response received full marks for Question 1. The answer is focused on the specific question of Corinth's influence on Sparta regarding their relationship with Athens. There is a range of examples from the period 446-431 which are detailed and directly relevant to Corinth's influence. Facts are accurate and fully relevant to the question. The range of examples are appropriate and detailed.

## Question 2

2 Read the following passage.

On the basis of this passage and other sources you have studied, to what extent were Athenian allies responsible for the growth of Athenian power? [20]

Responses varied in success in terms of the detailed use of the extract and other sources in relation to the growth of Athenian power. Analysis of the information in the extract showed understanding of the extent of allies' responsibility. Good points were the role of allies in just paying money and not fighting, allowing Athens to develop her navy at their expense.

Responses developed balanced arguments by reference to Sparta's inactivity (examples such as allowing Athens to build walls, not acting when asked - Thasos) and Athens' own actions (revolts - Naxos, Samos etc; transfer of treasury). Good use was made of other sources, e.g. Thucydides' Pentakontaetia. Good use was made of decrees (Chalkis, Thoudippus, Tribute Lists) in support of the growth in power.

Common misconceptions led responses to focus on the Persian Wars and Athens' role in them; interpretation of Plutarch's statement on the allies unwilling to fight led to the idea that in that way they did not aid Athens' growth, whereas by not fighting they were making Athens' position stronger.

Misattributions of evidence was a feature of some responses - a claim that Thucydides recorded the transfer of the treasury and/or the Peace of Kallias being too common.

## Section B overview

Most answers to the essay questions reached sensible conclusions derived from the ancient evidence and answers, which provided a mere factual response with unsupported assertion masquerading as analysis, were thankfully a lot rarer this year. To repeat the advice from last year: evaluation of the sources must be specific to the point being made. There was still far too much generic evaluation bolted on at the end of an essay, which, quite reasonably, received very little credit.

### Question 3

- 3\* To what extent did Athenian and Spartan strategies develop during the course of the Archidamian War (431–420 BC)?

You must use and analyse the ancient sources you have studied as well as supporting your answer with your own knowledge. **[30]**

Precise adherence to the period in the question and knowledge of the exact nature of the initial strategies gave responses a good grounding from which to analyse the development of those strategies and assess the extent of change.

Sound responses went beyond a basic understanding of Pericles' strategy of staying within the walls of Athens, e.g. keeping the Empire safe, engaging attacks on the Peloponnese, avoiding a battle on land. Development was linked to change of leader: Cleon for Pericles, although not always explained except in terms of Cleon's 'aggressiveness'. Sparta's strategy of invasion was generally covered well, although the actual years of invasion were not known by some.

The changes were argued as the result of Brasidas' more inventive approach. Responses made good use of Thucydides (e.g. on the plague, Pylos, Brasidas and Amphipolis). Some good analysis of developed strategies focused on the desire for peace at the end of the decade.

There were issues with responses which focused outside the precise period in the question with material from 450s, and post 420 BC (e.g. Decelea, Sicily, Mantinea; Cleon was associated with Sicily; reference to Alcibiades in the context of 431–420). There were issues of key omissions, e.g. battle of Delium (an essential change by Athens), early approaches to Persia by both sides, naval battles in Gulf of Corinth, Sparta's peace approaches in 425 BC, Sparta's early attempt to cause revolts in the Empire (Mytilene).

Misconceptions occurred over the years in which invasions took place, the effect of the plague and its role in strategy, and the effect of Helots on Sparta. Some were aware of negotiations with Persia but these tended to place it in the period after 413 which is irrelevant to the question.



## Question 4

- 4\* To what extent did the Persian defeat in 479 BC cause Persia's relationships with Greek states to change in the period 478–404 BC?

You must use and analyse the ancient sources you have studied as well as supporting your answer with your own knowledge. **[30]**

Good detail of the chronology of the period was evident in responses. There was detail of the immediate effect of Persia's defeat on Athens and Sparta, the gradual development the Delian League and Persia's retreat from conquest of Greece, the watershed of the Egyptian failure and the Peace of Kallias (with very good detail of the issues on this). Responses made good use of the final years of the war and Sparta's deal with Persia. Thucydides and Xenophon were used appropriately especially in the second part of the period, and Herodotus for the Persian relations with Greece up to 479 BC. There were good explanations on the extent to which the defeat caused changes in relations, whether there was some change or no change at all often with reference to change from 480 to 479 in Persia's attitude. The limited nature of Persian sources was a feature of some arguments.

Common misconceptions included the assumption that the defeat caused Persia to ally with Sparta in the Ionian War without explaining why this was so. In addition, there was a misconception that Persia took no interest in Greece after 449, ignoring the Samos incident and the attempts by both Athens and Sparta to get Persian aid. It was also not uncommon for Thucydides to be used for the period after 411 BC. There was some narrative of the end of the period in place of reasoned and substantiated analysis.

## Supporting you

For further details of this qualification please visit the subject webpage.

### Review of results

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the [OCR website](#). If university places are at stake you may wish to consider priority service 2 reviews of marking which have an earlier deadline to ensure your reviews are processed in time for university applications.

## activeresults

*Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. Available for GCSE, A Level and Cambridge Nationals.*

It allows you to:

- review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level\*
- compare your centre with OCR national averages
- identify trends across the centre
- facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

\*To find out which reports are available for a specific subject, please visit [ocr.org.uk/administration/support-and-tools/active-results/](http://ocr.org.uk/administration/support-and-tools/active-results/)

Find out more at [ocr.org.uk/activeresults](http://ocr.org.uk/activeresults)

## CPD Training

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear exam feedback directly from a senior assessor or drop in to an online Q&A session.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our website.

[www.ocr.org.uk](http://www.ocr.org.uk)

**OCR Resources:** *the small print*

OCR's resources are provided to support the delivery of OCR qualifications, but in no way constitute an endorsed teaching method that is required by OCR. Whilst every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the content, OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions within these resources. We update our resources on a regular basis, so please check the OCR website to ensure you have the most up to date version.

This resource may be freely copied and distributed, as long as the OCR logo and this small print remain intact and OCR is acknowledged as the originator of this work.

Our documents are updated over time. Whilst every effort is made to check all documents, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, therefore please use the information on the latest specification at all times. Where changes are made to specifications these will be indicated within the document, there will be a new version number indicated, and a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource please contact us at: [resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk](mailto:resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk).

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR, or are considering switching from your current provider/awarding organisation, you can request more information by completing the Expression of Interest form which can be found here: [www.ocr.org.uk/expression-of-interest](http://www.ocr.org.uk/expression-of-interest)

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support delivery of our qualifications: [resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk](mailto:resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk)

**Looking for a resource?**

There is now a quick and easy search tool to help find **free** resources for your qualification:

[www.ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/](http://www.ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/)

[www.ocr.org.uk](http://www.ocr.org.uk)

OCR Customer Support Centre

**General qualifications**

Telephone 01223 553998

Facsimile 01223 552627

Email [general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk](mailto:general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk)

OCR is part of Cambridge Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge. *For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored.*

© **OCR 2019** Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.



Cambridge  
Assessment

