

**A LEVEL**

Examiners' report

**PHYSICS B**  
**(ADVANCING PHYSICS)**

---

**H557**

For first teaching in 2015

**H557/03 Autumn 2020 series**

## Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.



Reports for the Autumn 2020 series will provide a broad commentary about candidate performance, with the aim for them to be useful future teaching tools. As an exception for this series they will not contain any questions from the exam paper nor examples of candidate responses.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

A full copy of the exam paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

### Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on **File > Export to** and select **Microsoft Word**

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

## Paper 3 series overview

This paper is worth 60 marks out of the total 270 marks for the qualification. It includes content from all teaching modules but places emphasis on practical skills. Most parts of the paper include structured questions, problem solving and calculations, as well as Level of Response (LoR) questions. This paper appeared to be accessible to most candidates and there was little evidence that candidates had run out of time.

<i>Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:</i>	<i>Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Described and explained concepts using correct scientific terminology.</li> <li>• Used detailed and logical arguments.</li> <li>• Were able to interpret graphs correctly.</li> <li>• Laid out 'show-that' questions clearly and logically and included all the steps.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Used poor or incorrect terminology to explain physical concepts.</li> <li>• Mis-read scales on graphs.</li> <li>• Didn't show all the steps in their working or calculations.</li> </ul>

The practical work addressed by this paper is covered in the specification.

3.1.2 (d)(iv) calibration of a light sensor.

4.1(d)(v) determining the speed of sound in air by formation of stationary waves in a resonance tube.

5.2.1(d)(i) using an electrical method to find the specific heat capacity of a liquid.

6.1.1 (d)(iii) investigation of transformers.

## Section overview

Section A comprised three questions based on three different practical investigations and made up 40 of the marks. In this paper the three practicals were finding the specific heat capacity of a liquid, the calibration of a light sensor, and an investigation of standing waves in a column of air. All three questions included quite a lot of graphical work as well as short answer and calculation questions, and there was also an extended writing question about the calibration of the light sensor.

Section B consisted of one question about transformers and made up 20 marks. It included a second extended response question about the structure of the core of a transformer, as well as some short answer and problem-solving questions.

## Comments on responses by question type

### Level of response (LoR) questions

The two LoR questions tested very different skills in this paper.

The first LoR Question 2c, required candidates to interpret two graphs and use data to make calculations and then explain the effect that background light would have on the expected results from the experiment. Most candidates were able to correctly interpret and manipulate the numerical data from the graph, even if they were unable to complete all the required calculations. The more challenging part of the question was to explain the effect that background light would have on the calibration curve. Many candidates found it difficult to explain the correct direction of the shift in the graph.


The second LoR Question 4civ, asked candidates to qualitatively explain the effect of having an air gap in the core of a transformer and to explain why the core should be laminated vertically. Candidates who were able to use the correct scientific terminology usually did well in this question. A common misconception here was for candidates to confuse electrical properties with magnetic properties of the core.

### Graphical questions

There was a lot of graph work in this paper; all three of the questions in Section A included graphs.

In Question 1 candidates were asked to explain the shape of a graph. In a Physics paper, explaining the shape of a graph should include some scientific explanation, not just a description of how the gradient changes. They were then asked to draw a line of best fit through only the first linear section of some pre-plotted points, and some attempted to draw a straight line through the whole curved trend. The gradient calculation in this question didn't seem to offer any major problems as most candidates picked data points from either end of their drawn line and the scales were relatively easy to read correctly.

In Question 3 most candidates were able to draw an acceptable 'worst-fit' line on a pre-plotted graph with error bars. In this case some candidates had trouble reading the scale correctly and there was more tendency to pick two data points which were too close together for the gradient calculation.

	<b>AfL</b>	<p>When candidates calculate absolute uncertainties, they should only be quoted to one significant figure. Then the calculated quantity should ideally be given to the appropriate number of decimal places. For example, in Question 1(b)(ii) the calculated value for power per unit mass is <math>210.75 \text{ W kg}^{-1}</math>. The uncertainty works out to approximately <math>\pm 16 \text{ W kg}^{-1}</math>. This uncertainty value should be given to 1 sf; i.e. <math>20 \text{ W kg}^{-1}</math>, and then the calculated value rounded to the nearest <math>10 \text{ W kg}^{-1}</math>; i.e. <math>210 \text{ W kg}^{-1}</math>.</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## Common misconceptions

In the first part of Question 1 many candidates incorrectly suggested that recording the temperature at more frequent intervals was an improved experimental design. In such questions, candidates should focus on the largest sources of uncertainty in experiments, which in this case is the way in which the liquid was being heated, not the temperature readings.

Many candidates confused permittivity with permeability in Question 4(d), and there were some candidates who thought that the two coils in a transformer were connected electrically through the core.

## Key teaching and learning points – comments on improving performance

Include all the steps in calculations and show-that questions.

Plotted points and read offs on graphs should always be correct to the nearest half small square.

When taking two points on a line to calculate gradient, they should be at least half the length of the drawn line apart.

In order to calculate the intercept of a straight line in the form  $y = mx + c$ ; the equation should be rearranged correctly for  $c = y - mx$ , not  $y \div mx$ , which is often seen.

## Guidance on using this paper as a mock

Much of this paper assesses practical skills, which are common to all experimental work. Typically, candidates should be familiar with the content of Module 1.1 and Module 2, as well as some of the theoretical modules.

---

# Supporting you

---

## Review of results

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the [OCR website](#). If university places are at stake you may wish to consider priority service 2 reviews of marking which have an earlier deadline to ensure your reviews are processed in time for university applications.

## Supporting you through 2020-2021

Our priority is supporting you and your students this autumn and to support you as you prepare for summer 2021 exams. We'll update our [website information](#) regularly with resources, guidance and key information.

## Take a look at our support for:

- [Teachers](#)
- [Students](#)
- [Exams officers](#)
- [Assessment specialists](#)

## Keep up-to-date

We are sending a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, [sign up here](#).

## OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. All our courses for the academic year 2020-2021 are being delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our [website](#) or visit [OCR professional development](#).

## Signed up for Exambuilder?

**ExamBuilder** is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals and Functional Skills qualifications. See the full list of available qualifications in the [sign up form](#).

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an [Interchange](#) username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

## Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our Customer Support Centre.

General qualifications

**01223 553998**

**general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk**

Vocational qualifications

**02476 851509**

**vocational.qualifications@ocr.org.uk**

For more information visit

 [ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/](https://ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/)

 [ocr.org.uk](https://ocr.org.uk)

 [/ocrexams](https://www.facebook.com/ocrexams)

 [/ocrexams](https://twitter.com/ocrexams)

 [/company/ocr](https://www.linkedin.com/company/ocr)

 [/ocrexams](https://www.youtube.com/ocrexams)

## We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.



I like this



I dislike this



OCR is part of Cambridge Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2020 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please [contact us](#).

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our [Expression of Interest form](#).

Please [get in touch](#) if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.