Qualification Accredited



# **AS LEVEL**

Examiners' report

# **PSYCHOLOGY**



For first teaching in 2015

# H167/02 Summer 2019 series

Version 1

#### Contents

Introduction	3
Paper 2 series overview	4
Section A overview	5
Question 1 (a)	5
Question 1(b) (i)	5
Question 1(b) (ii)	6
Question 2	6
Question 3	7
Question 4 (a)	7
Question 4 (b)	8
Question 5 (a)	3
Question 5 (b)	
Section B overview	10
Question 6 (a)	10
Question 6 (b)	10
Question 6 (c)	11
Question 6 (d)	11
Question 6 (e)	12
Section C overview	14
Question 7 (a)	14
Question 7 (b)	15
Question 7 (c)	15
Question 7 (d)	16



# Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this pdf as a Word file using Acrobat Professional? Simply click on **File > Save As Other...** and select **Microsoft Word** 

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select *Save as...* to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert Word to PDF (search for pdf to word converter).



#### We value your feedback

We'd like to know your view on the resources we produce. By clicking on the icon above you will help us to ensure that our resources work for you.

#### Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates. The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report. A full copy of the question paper can be downloaded from OCR.

© OCR 2019

## Paper 2 series overview

H167/02: Psychological themes through core studies is the second of two examined components for the OCR AS Psychology qualification. This component focuses on:

- Knowledge, understanding and evaluation of five key themes.
- Knowledge, understanding and evaluation of a classical and a contemporary study located within each key theme (10 core studies).
- Knowledge, understanding and evaluation of areas and perspectives in psychology.
- Methodological issues relating to the core studies.
- Issues and debates in psychology.
- Practical applications of psychology.

To do well on this paper candidates needed to have a sound knowledge and understanding of all the core studies, areas, perspectives and debates. Evaluation skills needed to be good and candidates needed to be able to apply their psychological knowledge effectively to a novel situation.

This paper was accessible with most candidates attempting all questions. Candidates who did well on this paper showed extensive knowledge and understanding of the nine core studies cited in Section A and therefore answered questions competently and confidently. Likewise, candidates who had sound knowledge and understanding of the individual differences area gave in-depth and appropriate answers in Section B Question 6 parts (a) – (d). Those who appreciated that a discussion of a debate involves the consideration of strengths and weaknesses of both sides, supported by appropriate evidence from relevant core studies did well in Section B Question 6 part (e). In Section C, candidates who knew, understood and could apply the principles and concepts of the behaviourist perspective scored well in Question 7 parts (a) and (b). Many candidates who did well on Question 7 part (c) showed a high standard of understanding of how two ways could be used to encourage saving in young people and were also able to apply their psychological knowledge within their suggestions effectively. In Question 7 part (d), candidates often lost marks by simply describing practical strengths and weaknesses of the suggestions they made in Question 7 part (c) without reading that the evaluation needed to focus on issues and debates. Those who did make explicit links to issues/debates struggled to discuss these in enough detail to meet the requirements of the higher bands.

There was some evidence that some candidates are presenting responses that are pre-learnt and rehearsed and are not reading and responding to the specific questions appropriately. Some candidates did not demonstrate adequate knowledge and understanding to respond effectively to questions relating to the individual differences area, the behaviourist perspective and/or psychological issues and debates in psychology. There was evidence that some candidates needed to develop greater skills in applying their knowledge and understanding to novel sources. There was evidence that many candidates did not read Question 7 part (d) carefully and therefore did not answer the question asked.

#### Section A overview

Section A: Core studies. This section consists of questions based on the ten core studies individually, in their pairs or in terms of their key theme. Questions are short answers but range in mark allocation. Total marks for this section = 25.

Question 1 (a)				
1 (a)	Des	scribe the background to Milgram's study into obedience.		
		[4]		
Candidates who performed well on this question showed a thorough knowledge of the background to Milgram's study and were able to link this to the subsequent aim of the study, e.g. 'Milgram based his study on previous historical events in which inhumane acts were carried out under the orders of someone in high authority i.e. WW2 and the authority figure of Hitler. Milgram wanted to investigate how ikely we are to obey people of high authority, even if the orders appear immoral and we do not personally agree with them.' Some candidates provided an outline of Milgram's actual study, therefore not answering the question.				
Quest	ion	1(b) (i)		
		Describe the sample used in Bocchiaro et al.'s study into whistleblowing.		
		[2]		

Many candidates provided detailed and accurate descriptions which included two or more features of Bocchiaro's sample. Others referred to features such as opportunity sampling, Yale University, America; indicating they either muddled core studies or did not have real understanding of Bocchiaro's study.

#### Question 1(b) (ii)

(ii)	Outline <b>one</b> way in which Bocchiaro et al.'s study showed sampling bias.					
	[2]					

Many candidates were able to clearly identify a relevant type of bias in the context of Bocchiaro's study. Some candidates, although able to identify an appropriate bias did not contextualise their response and therefore lost marks, e.g. 'Bocchiaro only used students within his sample so findings cannot be generalised.'

#### Question 2

2	Explain <b>one</b> similarity between Loftus & Palmer's study into eyewitness testimony and Grant et al.'s study into context-dependent memory.
	[4]

Many candidates were able to (i) identify and develop a similarity between the two named studies (ii) illustrate the similarity with appropriate evidence from both Loftus and Palmer's and Grant et al.'s studies, e.g. 'Both studies gathered quantitative data which allows for the easy comparison of results. In Loftus and Palmer's first experiment, findings revealed that those who were asked how fast the cars were going when they smashed gave an average speed of 40.5 mph while those with the verb contacted gave an average speed of 31.8 mph. Grant et al. gained quantitative data in that on completing the reading of a text on psychoimmunolgy, participants were given multiple-choice and short-answer questionnaires to complete which produced numerical data.' Other candidates, although able to identify an appropriate similarity, needed to develop and evidence their similarity appropriately in relation to the two named studies.

#### Question 3

3	Out	lline one way in which Chaney et al. followed ethical considerations in their Funhaler study.
		[2]
		ndidates performed well on this question with few candidates not scoring the full 2 marks, e.g. followed ethical considerations by gaining informed consent from the children's parents.'
Qu	esti	on 4 (a)
4	(a)	Sperry carried out a study into split brain patients.
		Identify the apparatus used in this study.
		[2]

Some candidates were able to identify the two pieces of apparatus used – a tachistoscope (projector **and** screen) and objects. Many candidates either referred to how visual material was presented to the participant or considered having a split brain/having their brain split as a piece of apparatus and therefore did not answer the question.

responses did not answer the question.

Examiners' report

#### Question 4 (b)

	Outline one way in which the researchers' procedure increased the reliability of their study.				
	[3]				
being a study, e becaus person' be repli ability to respons	were many good answers here with candidates showing a clear understanding of reliability and ble to provide sound outlines of how Casey et al.'s procedure increased the reliability of their e.g. 'As a controlled and standardised procedure, Casey at al.'s study was reliable. This is in the first experiment for the Go/Nogo task, pre-programmed laptops were delivered to each shome. This meant all participants were tested in exactly the same way and that the test councated.' Other candidates needed to develop their understanding of the term 'reliability' and the explain how this issue was addressed in the context of Casey et al.'s study, i.e. go beyond sees as, 'The procedure was highly controlled and standardised', which merely infers an anding of the term.	:h Ild eir			
Quest	ion 5 (a)				
5 (a)	Freud used the case study method in his research.				
	Describe how he used this method in his study of Little Hans.				
	[3]				
	who scored well on this question provided detailed and accurate descriptions of the case studing the context of Freud's study which included two key features of the case study method in	у			

(b) Casey et al. carried out a study into neural correlates of delay of gratification.

© OCR 2019

relation to the study of Little Hans. Some candidates appeared to mis-read the question and gave descriptions of how the data was gathered, e.g. 'Freud used the case study method by not observing Little Hans himself but by asking Hans' father to observe him and send his findings to Freud.' Such

#### Question 5 (b)

(b)	) Baron-Cohen et al. used the experimental method in their study of autism.							
	Explain one strength of using this method in this study.							
	ro1							
	[3]							

There were many excellent responses here with candidates providing relevant strengths of the experimental method in the context of Baron-Cohen et al.'s study. Some candidates gave clear responses that identified a relevant strength of the experimental method but needed to contextualise their answer to the named study to gain more than 1 mark, e.g. 'One strength is that it allows for cause and effect to be objectively established.' A few candidates referred to a laboratory experiment/the study was conducted under laboratory conditions, but this study is (i) considered a quasi-experiment with a matched pairs design, (ii) was not conducted under laboratory conditions as some participants were tested in their own homes, some in the researchers' clinic and some in the researchers' laboratory.

#### Section B overview

Section B: Areas, perspectives and debates. Questions in this section focus on areas, perspectives and debates in psychology. Questions range in size and mark allocation. Total marks for this section = 25.

(a) Outline the defining principles and concepts of the area of individual differences.

#### Question 6 (a)

	[4]
with diffe understa principles	ndidates were able to identify and elaborate on the basic principle that every individual is unique ring characteristics, experiences and behaviours but were unable to show greater anding of the area to gain full marks. Some candidates showed a greater understanding of the and concepts of the area by referring, for example, to the principle that human behaviour can ured and quantified though the measures for one person will be different from those gained from
Questi	on 6 (b)
(b)	Describe <b>one</b> strength of using the individual differences area to explain behaviour.

Candidates who scored well on this question were able to identify and elaborate a strength of the individual differences area, e.g. 'The area is holistic as it can provide a variety of explanations for behaviour as shown through Freud's study of Little Hans ...' The better responses focused on methodological issues/debates. Other candidates needed to go beyond providing a brief or muddled strength, e.g. 'One strength is that the area isn't reductionist.'

Question 6	(C)	١
Question o		,

(c)	) Describe one weakness of using the individual differences area to explain behaviour.						
	[3]						

As with Question 6 (b), candidates who scored well on this question tended to focus on methodological issues and debates, identifying and elaborating an appropriate weakness of the individual differences area, e.g. 'The research method used may not be objective, so open to bias, lowering the validity of findings'. This is shown in Freud's study of Little Hans. Freud gathered qualitative data which he interpreted subjectively so he could gain evidence to support his theory of psychosexual development and the Oedipus complex. This limits the usefulness of research investigating individual differences.' Candidates who did not perform well on this question needed to go beyond merely identifying a relevant weakness of the area, e.g. 'One is unable to generalise any findings'.

#### Question 6 (d)

(d)	(d) Outline one application of the individual differences area.						
	[3]						

Some candidates performed well on this question through referring to either therapies or psychoanalysis. Others needed to go beyond merely identifying an application of the individual differences area. There were instances where candidates described the findings of either Baron-Cohen et al.'s or Freud's study without showing how they can be applied. Such responses did not answer the question.

#### Question 6 (e)

	free will/de ipport your	lebate in pa	sychology. (	Jse examples	from relevant co	re
 		 •••••				•••
 		 				21

A special allowance was made in the marking of this question as it produced unforeseen difficulties — many candidates did not provide a discussion of the freewill/determinism debate, instead defining the debate and describing how various core studies supported either freewill or determinism. Such responses could gain a maximum of 6 marks though candidates who considered strengths and/or weaknesses of determinism and/or freewill, supported by appropriate evidence, were able to access the higher marking bands. Specifically candidates needed to write about the strengths and weaknesses of the debate supported by the studies, and not the strengths and weaknesses of the studies supported by the debates.



**AfL** 

Centres should be mindful of the command verbs used in questions, for example "Discuss" in the context of this question required candidates to consider strengths and/or weaknesses of determinism and/or free will and support it with evidence of core studies.

#### Exemplar 1

The free will I determinism debate looks at whother
Gonare in control of your own behaviour throughout
life or it your benaviour is already determined
andit for you.
······································
One argument supporting the free will side of the
debate is act of being obedient. This can be
explained by Milgram's Study on destructive
Obedience which consisted of the role of a lewner
and a teacher. The teacher could not See the learner
and was great Structed by the experimenter to ask
the Learner questions. It the learner got a question
wrong be teamer was instructed to give a show of
15 voits which range a from 15 voits to 450 voits.
If the teamer didn't obey they would be produced
a maximum of four times by the experimenter.
This Study lines to the free will debate because
the teamer's actions were based on their own free will
and what they decided to do in that moment and the study found that 65.1. OF Participants reached 450 valls. However it can be argued by at the so coal context
buy were in pressurised them into obeying become
Quaritative results from the experiment found that
the participants were sweating and biting their lies which
Shows signs of excreme Stress, thus the benaviour
Coma have to an extent been deterministic [12]

Exemplar 1 shows that the candidate needed to enter a discussion relating to the strengths and weaknesses of both freewill and determinism. The response was given 6 marks as the candidate has described both freewill and determinism and illustrated each explanation for behaviour with appropriate evidence

#### Section C overview

Section C: Practical applications. Questions in this section require candidates to apply their knowledge and understanding of psychology to a novel source. Questions range in size and mark allocation. Total marks for this section = 25.

#### Question 7 (a)

7	(a)	Outline the behaviourist perspective in psychology and briefly explain how it applies to this article.
		[5]

Those who performed well on this question provided accurate outlines of the behaviourist perspective which clearly illustrated the principle that behaviour is learned, and showed how this could be done through the processes of classical conditioning, operant conditioning and observational learning, linking these explicitly to the article. Some candidates needed to develop a greater understanding of the behaviourist perspective so they could go beyond merely identifying such concepts as operant and classical conditioning or positive and negative reinforcement. There were some instances where candidates muddled the processes of classical and operant conditioning, and negative reinforcement and punishment when explaining how bank customers could learn to not overspend.

#### Question 7 (b)

(b)	) Briefly describe <b>two</b> psychological issues raised by this article.		
	(i)		
			•••••
	(ii)		
			[4]

Candidates who scored well on this question identified two appropriate psychological issues and applied them appropriately to the article. Some candidates lost marks by simply quoting information from the article without making links to their psychological knowledge. Issues such as operant conditioning, protection of participants and the delay of gratification were often cited.

#### Question 7 (c)

(c)	Using your knowledge of psychology, suggest <b>two</b> ways in which young people could be encouraged to save money.	Э
	[8	81
		- 1

Some candidates provided two clearly different suggestions as to how young people could be encouraged to save money which included extremely effective application of psychological knowledge throughout. The most effective responses were linked to the use of operant conditioning, observational learning (SLT) and delaying gratification. Some suggestions were not clearly explained and included very weak/no application of psychological knowledge. Candidates who provided such responses did not score well.

Exemp	lar 2
-------	-------

One way young people can be encouraged
to save money would be setting up a
saving bank aecount, into which they cauld
be movery in the bank account and
leaving ut there In would be as It would
encourage them as they know the maney
is safe with in their own bank abcount
Another way was to encourage young
people to save money is giving them
goals to achive. Locample would be off
giving to that Key have to save up if
Huy want to get hew phone a'
gaure e 07

Exemplar 2 illustrates that the candidate needed to show a greater knowledge and understanding of how two ways could be used to encourage saving by young people. The response shows no real application of psychological knowledge though the reference to goal-setting gained credit.

## Question 7 (d)

(d)	Evaluate the suggestions you have made in part (c) with reference to issues and debates you have studied in psychology.
	[8]

Many candidates did not read the question carefully. The question required them to evaluate the suggestions they had made in Question 7 part (c) in relation to psychological issues and debates. Many candidates merely evaluated their previous suggestions and therefore did not answer the question.

#### Exemplar 3

Saveing bank account closen 4 have to contain cots
of amounts of savings, to start to be encourage
the younger people to save up we could
Hart with small amounts of money that is
teans ferred to a bank account like 15
transferred to a bank account like 25  per week After few weeks the money could
be increase to £10. This could be continued
in Law Pow months and then we could
suggests that the a months and then we could
suggests that the pe money that was raved could
you to be spend on something this young
person would all this time. This is
possitive-punishment technique, where the
will can't spend the money mosted they
some up ternseft for what they want

Exemplar 3 illustrates how candidates did not read the question carefully. The candidate has evaluated the suggestions they made in Question 7 part (c) but not in relation to any of the psychological issues or debates identified in the specification.

## **Supporting you**

For further details of this qualification please visit the subject webpage.

#### **Review of results**

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the <u>OCR website</u>. If university places are at stake you may wish to consider priority service 2 reviews of marking which have an earlier deadline to ensure your reviews are processed in time for university applications.



Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. Available for GCSE, A Level and Cambridge Nationals.

It allows you to:

- review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level\*
- · compare your centre with OCR national averages
- · identify trends across the centre
- facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

\*To find out which reports are available for a specific subject, please visit <u>ocr.org.uk/administration/support-and-tools/active-results/</u>

Find out more at ocr.org.uk/activeresults

# **CPD** Training

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear exam feedback directly from a senior assessor or drop in to an online Q&A session.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our website.

www.ocr.org.uk

#### **OCR Resources:** the small print

OCR's resources are provided to support the delivery of OCR qualifications, but in no way constitute an endorsed teaching method that is required by OCR. Whilst every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the content, OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions within these resources. We update our resources on a regular basis, so please check the OCR website to ensure you have the most up to date version.

This resource may be freely copied and distributed, as long as the OCR logo and this small print remain intact and OCR is acknowledged as the originator of this work.

Our documents are updated over time. Whilst every effort is made to check all documents, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, therefore please use the information on the latest specification at all times. Where changes are made to specifications these will be indicated within the document, there will be a new version number indicated, and a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource please contact us at: <a href="mailto:resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk">resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk</a>.

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR, or are considering switching from your current provider/awarding organisation, you can request more information by completing the Expression of Interest form which can be found here: <a href="https://www.ocr.org.uk/expression-of-interest">www.ocr.org.uk/expression-of-interest</a>

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support delivery of our qualifications: <a href="mailto:resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk">resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk</a>

#### Looking for a resource?

There is now a quick and easy search tool to help find **free** resources for your qualification:

www.ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/

## www.ocr.org.uk

## **OCR Customer Support Centre**

#### **General qualifications**

Telephone 01223 553998 Facsimile 01223 552627

Email general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

OCR is part of Cambridge Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge. For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored.

© **OCR 2019** Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.



