

# **GCE**

# **Religious Studies**

Unit H573/01: Philosophy of religion

Advanced GCE

Mark Scheme for June 2018

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2018

### **Annotations**

Annotation	Meaning			
LI	Level one – to be used at the end of each part of the response in the margin.			
L2	Level two – to be used at the end of each part of the response in the margin.			
L3	Level three – to be used at the end of each part of the response in the margin.			
L4	Level four – to be used at the end of each part of the response in the margin.			
L5	Level five – to be used at the end of each part of the response in the margin.			
	Level six - to be used at the end of each part of the response in the margin.			
<b>\}</b>	Highlighting a section of the response that is irrelevant to the awarding of the mark.			
SEEN	Point has been seen and noted, e.g. where part of an answer is at the end of the script.			

#### SUBJECT-SPECIFIC MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

#### Introduction

Your first task as an Examiner is to become thoroughly familiar with the material on which the examination depends. You should ensure that you have copies of these materials:

- the specification, especially the assessment objectives
- the question paper and its rubrics
- the mark scheme.

You should ensure also that you are familiar with the administrative procedures related to the marking process. These are set out in the OCR booklet **Instructions for Examiners**. If you are examining for the first time, please read carefully **Appendix 5 Introduction to Script Marking: Notes for New Examiners**. Please ask for help or guidance whenever you need it. Your first point of contact is your Team Leader.

#### Information and instructions for examiners

The practice scripts provide you with *examples* of the standard of each band. The marks awarded for these scripts will have been agreed by the Lead Marker and Team Leaders.

The specific task-related indicative content for each question will help you to understand how the band descriptors may be applied. However, this indicative content **does not** constitute the mark scheme: it is material that candidates **might** use, grouped according to each assessment objective tested by the question. It is hoped that candidates will respond to questions in a variety of ways. Rigid demands for 'what must be a good answer' would lead to a distorted assessment. Candidates' answers must be relevant to the question. Beware of prepared answers that do not show the candidate's thought and which have not been adapted to the thrust of the question. Beware also of answers where candidates attempt to reproduce interpretations and concepts that they have been taught but have only partially understood.

#### **Using the Mark Scheme**

Please study this Mark Scheme carefully. The Mark Scheme is an integral part of the process that begins with the setting of the question paper and ends with the awarding of grades. Question papers and Mark Schemes are developed in association with each other so that issues of differentiation and positive achievement can be addressed from the very start.

This Mark Scheme is a working document; it is not exhaustive; it does not provide 'correct' answers. The Mark Scheme can only provide 'best guesses' about how the question will work out, and it is subject to revision after we have looked at a wide range of scripts.

Please read carefully all the scripts in your allocation and make every effort to look positively for achievement throughout the ability range. Always be prepared to use the full range of marks.

#### Assessment Objectives

**Two** Assessment Objectives are being assessed in all questions:

AO1 (Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of religion and belief) and

**AO2** (Analyse and evaluate aspects of, and approaches to, religion and belief, including their significance, influence and study).

Responses are credited for AO1 for selection, detail and accuracy of the knowledge and understanding of religion and belief deployed.

Responses are credited for **AO2** for how well the response addresses the question, for candidates using their knowledge and understanding to draw, express and support conclusions in relation to the question posed. Candidates will be assessed on the quality of the conclusions and points they argue and the clarity and success of their argument.

#### Levels of Response

Questions in this paper are marked using a levels of response grid, when using this grid examiners must use a **best fit** approach. Where there are both strengths and weaknesses in a particular response, particularly imbalanced responses in terms of the assessment objectives, examiners must carefully consider which level is the best fit for the performance.

Note that candidates can achieve different levels in each assessment objective, for example a Level 3 for AO1, and a Level 2 for AO2. To use these grids:

**Ddetermine the level:** start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer. **Determine the mark within the level:** consider the following:

Descriptor	Award mark
On the borderline of this level and the one	At bottom of level
below	
Just enough achievement on balance for this	Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks
level	available)
Meets the criteria but with some slight	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks
inconsistency	available)
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level

Please note that the Assessment Objectives being assessed are listed at the top of the mark scheme. Where a candidate does not address all of the Assessment Objective strands listed, the candidate cannot achieve the top level of response.

#### Assessment of Extended Response

The GCE General Conditions of Recognition state that:

GCE 5.1 In designing and setting the assessments for a GCE qualification which it makes available, or proposes to make available, and awarding organization must ensure that, taken together, those assessments include questions or tasks which allow Learners to -

#### a) provide extended responses

As such, the quality of extended responses are assessed in all questions. While marks are not specifically given for this, descriptors for extended responses can be found in the AO2 Levels of Response *in italics*.

Level (Mark)	Levels of Response for A Level Religious Studies: Assessment Objective 1 (AO1)  Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of religion and belief, including:  Religious, philosophical and/or ethical thought and teaching  Approaches to the study of religion and belief	Note: The descriptors below must be considered in the context of all listed strands of Assessment Objectives 1 (AO1) and the indicative content in the mark scheme.
<b>6</b> (14–16)	An <b>excellent</b> demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the question fully comprehends the demands of, and focusses on, the question throughout excellent selection of relevant material which is skillfully used accurate and highly detailed knowledge which demonstrates deep understanding threfore thorough, accurate and precise use of technical terms and vocabulary in context extensive range of scholarly views, academic approaches, and/or sources of wisdom	ough a complex and nuanced approach to the material used
<b>5</b> (11–13)	A very good demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the question focuses on the precise question throughout  very good selection of relevant material which is used appropriately  accurate, and detailed knowledge which demonstrates very good understanding throughout accurate and appropriate use of technical terms and subject vocabulary.  a very good range of scholarly views, academic approaches, and/or sources of wisden	ugh either the breadth or depth of material used
<b>4</b> (8–10)	A good demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the question:  addresses the question well  good selection of relevant material, used appropriately on the whole  mostly accurate knowledge which demonstrates good understanding of the material  mostly accurate and appropriate use of technical terms and subject vocabulary.  a good range of scholarly views, academic approaches, and/or sources of wisdom and	
<b>3</b> (5–7)	A satisfactory demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the question     generally addresses the question     mostly sound selection of mostly relevant material     some accurate knowledge which demonstrates sound understanding through the mage generally appropriate use of technical terms and subject vocabulary.     A satisfactory range of scholarly views, academic approaches, and/or sources of wis	
<b>2</b> (3–4)	A basic demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the question:     might address the general topic rather than the question directly     limited selection of partially relevant material     some accurate, but limited, knowledge which demonstrates partial understanding     some accurate, but limited, use of technical terms and appropriate subject vocabular a limited range of scholarly views, academic approaches, and/or sources of wisdom	y. and authority are used to demonstrate knowledge and understanding with little success
1 (1-2) <b>0</b> (0)	A weak demonstration of knowledge and understanding in response to the question:	om and authority to demonstrate knowledge and understanding

Lovel	Levels of Response for A Level Religious Studies: Assessment Objective 2 (AO2)	Note: The descriptors below must be considered in the context of all claments of			
(Mark)	Analyse and evaluate aspects of, and approaches to, religion and belief, including their significance, influence and study	Note: The descriptors below must be considered in the context of all elements of Assessment Objective 2 (AO2) and the indicative content in the mark scheme.			
6	An <b>excellent</b> demonstration of analysis and evaluation in response to the question:				
(21–24)	excellent, clear and successful argument				
	confident and insightful critical analysis and detailed evaluation of the issue				
	views skillfully and clearly stated, coherently developed and justified				
	answers the question set precisely throughout				
	thorough, accurate and precise use of technical terms and vocabulary in context				
	extensive range of scholarly views, academic approaches and sources of wisdom and	authority used to support analysis and evaluation			
	Assessment of Extended Response: There is an excellent line of reasoning, well-develop	ped and sustained, which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.			
5	A <b>very good</b> demonstration of analysis and evaluation in response to the question:				
(17–20)	clear argument which is mostly successful				
	successful and clear analysis and evaluation				
	views very well stated, coherently developed and justified				
	answers the question set competently				
	<ul> <li>accurate and appropriate use of technical terms and subject vocabulary.</li> <li>a very good range of scholarly views, academic approaches and sources of wisdom at</li> </ul>	and authority used to aupport analysis and avaluation			
	a very good range of scholarly views, academic approaches and sources of wisdom at	nd authority used to support analysis and evaluation			
	Assessment of Extended Response: There is a well-developed and sustained line of rea	asoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.			
4	A good demonstration of analysis and evaluation in response to the question:				
(13–16)	argument is generally successful and clear				
	generally successful analysis and evaluation				
	views well stated, with some development and justification				
	answers the question set well     mostly account and appropriate use of technical terms and subject vessly large.				
	mostly accurate and appropriate use of technical terms and subject vocabulary.      a good range of scholarly views, condemic approaches and sources of window and sutherity are used to support applying and suggestion.				
	a good range of scholarly views, academic approaches and sources of wisdom and authority are used to support analysis and evaluation				
	Assessment of Extended Response: There is a well-developed line of reasoning which	is clear, relevant and logically structured			
(9–12)	A satisfactory demonstration of analysis and/evaluation in response to the question:  • some successful argument				
(9-12)	some successful argument     partially successful analysis and evaluation				
	views asserted but often not fully justified				
	mostly answers the set question				
	<ul> <li>generally appropriate use of technical terms and subject vocabulary.</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>a satisfactory range of scholarly views, academic approaches and sources of wisdom</li> </ul>	and authority are used to support analysis and evaluation with only partial success			
	a satisfactory range of corrotatry views, academic approaches and sources of wisdom	and dutionly are asset to support analysis and ovalidation with only partial success			
	Assessment of Extended Response: There is a line of reasoning presented which is most	stly relevant and which has some structure.			
<b>2</b>	A <b>basic</b> demonstration of analysis and evaluation in response to the question:				
(5–8)	some argument attempted, not always successful     little successful analysis and evaluation.				
	little successful analysis and evaluation     views apported but with little instification.				
	views asserted but with little justification     only partially appropriate an experience.				
	<ul> <li>only partially answers the question</li> <li>some accurate, but limited, use of technical terms and appropriate subject vocabulary.</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>some accurate, but limited, use of technical terms and appropriate subject vocabulary.</li> <li>a limited range of scholarly views, academic approaches and sources of wisdom and a</li> </ul>				
	1 - a minica range of sortionary views, academic approaches and sources of wisdom and	admonty to support analysis and evaluation with little success			

## H573/01 Mark Scheme June 2018

	Assessment of Extended Response: There is a line of reasoning which has some relevance and which is presented with limited structure.
1	A weak demonstration of analysis and evaluation in response to the question:
(1–4)	very little argument attempted
, ,	very little successful analysis and evaluation
	views asserted with very little justification
	unsuccessful in answering the question
	very little use of technical terms or subject vocabulary.
	very little or no use of scholarly views, academic approaches and sources of wisdom and authority to support analysis and evaluation
	Assessment of Extended Response: The information is communicated in a basic/unstructured way.
<b>0</b> (0)	No creditworthy response

Question	Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
1	'The best approach to understanding religious language is through the cataphatic way.' Discuss.	40	
	The following is a description of possible content only; all legitimate answers and approaches must be credited appropriately. Learners are expected to make use of scholarly views, academic approaches and sources of wisdom and authority to support their argument.		
	The Levels of Response must be used in conjunction with the outlined indicative content. Weak, basic, satisfactory, good and very good responses will differentiate candidates' skills at:		Following the principle of positive
	<ul> <li>demonstrating knowledge and understanding of religion and belief in accordance with all relevant strands of AO1 indicated in the coverage grid and</li> <li>the analysis and evaluation of aspects of, and approaches to, religion and belief</li> </ul>		awarding, if the response has confused the terminology but shows understanding of the concepts, appropriate credit should be given.
	<b>AO1</b> Candidates may demonstrate knowledge and understanding through the use of some of the following materials:	(AO1 16)	
	<ul> <li>the distinction between the apophatic and cataphatic traditions and examples of each</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>an examination of the analogical tradition of cataphasis as a rejection of univocal and equivocal understandings of religious language</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Scriptural examples of religious language providing positive assertions about divinity</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Aquinas' understanding of analogy of attribution: that God may be understood where a description of God is used that has a causal link to God as the originator of that description</li> </ul>		
	Aquinas' understanding of analogy of proper proportion: that God may be		

Question	Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
	understood where a description of God is used that can be raised proportionally to be an appropriate description of God		
	<ul> <li>a symbolic interpretation of religious language as a way of understanding earthly language as participating in the reality to which it points.</li> </ul>	(AO2 24)	
	<b>AO2</b> Candidates may demonstrate evaluation and analysis through the use of some of the following arguments.		
	<ul> <li>Some candidates might argue that the only approach to understanding religious language is through the cataphatic way because:</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>negative statements cannot describe an object or person and so are not useful in describing religious ideas – indeed the apophatic way might lead to atheism</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>analogy and symbol allow language to be based within the experience of a person while also allowing for the greatness of the divine</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>a creator would logically have given humans the ability to understand ultimate questions even if not fully; this can only be achieved through language</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>analogy avoids anthropomorphising God and avoids the vagueness of equivocal language and so it demonstrates that cataphatic language is useful</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>some religions, which are based on the concept of revelation, are predicated on the idea that God has been shown to the world in a describable way, shown, for example, through Scriptures</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>much philosophy and theology would be impossible without a cataphatic approach to language.</li> </ul>		

Question	Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
	<ul> <li>Some candidates might argue that the only approach to understanding religious language is not through the cataphatic way because:</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>words are limited and cannot serve to describe the infinite and ineffable</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>denial of concepts is the best way to free oneself from the chains of those ideas</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>when words are used God will always end up being anthropomorphised</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>it is more respectful to the divine to preserve the idea of the otherness of God through the apophatic way</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>the apophatic tradition is more than just about denial; it is about moving beyond language altogether and approaching the spiritual</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>some types of cataphatic theology, such as symbol, can rely on emotions too much for the words to contain sufficient meaning.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Some candidates may combine these views and argue that the cataphatic way is a useful starting point for religious language and for the life of the religious believer but that there is a point at which the believer can become ready to use the apophatic way in their lives. It could be argued that all religious language speaks of what is unverifiable, and is therefore meaningless.</li> </ul>		

Question	Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
2	To what extent does Hume successfully argue that observation does <u>not</u> prove the existence of God?	40	
	The following is a description of possible content only; all legitimate answers and approaches must be credited appropriately. Learners are expected to make use of scholarly views, academic approaches and sources of wisdom and authority to support their argument.		
	The Levels of Response must be used in conjunction with the outlined indicative content. Weak, basic, satisfactory, good and very good responses will differentiate candidates' skills at:		Observation includes either, or both, the teleological and cosmological
	<ul> <li>demonstrating knowledge and understanding of religion and belief in accordance with all relevant strands of AO1 indicated in the coverage grid and</li> <li>the analysis and evaluation of aspects of, and approaches to, religion and belief</li> </ul>		arguments.
	<ul> <li>AO1 Candidates may demonstrate knowledge and understanding through the use of some of the following ideas:</li> <li>details of arguments for the existence of God from observation, such as the cosmological and teleological arguments</li> </ul>	(AO1 16)	
	<ul> <li>Hume's empiricist view that without sufficient experience it is not possible to make judgements about ideas such as the creation of the universe or the existence of a designer</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Hume's assertion that arguments from analogy are weak because of the inadequacy of comparing a corporeal object with an incorporeal one</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Hume's assertion that the nature of a creator or designer is not correctly inferred from these arguments</li> </ul>		
	the issues raised about the problem of evil, especially in the teleological		

Question	Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
	argument		
	<ul> <li>the logical fallacy of asserting that infinite regression is impossible</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Hume's assertion of the fallacy of composition; the mistaken view that a totality must have an explanation even after the individual objects which compose that totality have been severally explained.</li> </ul>		
	<b>AO2</b> Candidates may demonstrate evaluation and analysis through the use of some of the following arguments.	(AO2 24)	
	<ul> <li>Some candidates might argue that Hume does successfully argue that observation does not prove the existence of God because:</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>the problem of evil cannot be solved, even with the classical theodicies</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Hume successfully illustrates the weaknesses of arguing from analogy</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Hume's fallacy of composition correctly challenges the premise behind arguments from observation</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Hume correctly understands the need for experience in discussing the creation of worlds and/or universes, which we do not have</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>especially with modern understandings of cosmology and science, the balance of probability indicates that God does not exist, whatever observations might suggest.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Some candidates might argue that Hume does not successfully argue that observation does not prove the existence of God because:</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Hume does not sufficiently challenge the arguments' processes, which begin with observations shared by all</li> </ul>		

Question	Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
	<ul> <li>the problem of evil is explained through the notion of free will</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>there is sufficient alternative evidence to add to the arguments to give a weight of evidence in their favour</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>it is more likely that there is a creator than the universe being brute fact or the result of chance</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>the cosmological and teleological arguments can work alongside modern understandings of the origins of the universe, world and human life.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Some candidates may combine these views and argue that Hume is partially successful in his points because the arguments do not prove the nature of the creator even if they do prove the existence of a supreme being.</li> </ul>		

Question	Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
3	Assess Boethius's view that divine eternity does <u>not</u> limit human free will.	40	
	The following is a description of possible content only; all legitimate answers and approaches must be credited appropriately. Learners are expected to make use of scholarly views, academic approaches and sources of wisdom and authority to support their argument.		
	The Levels of Response must be used in conjunction with the outlined indicative content. Weak, basic, satisfactory, good and very good responses will differentiate candidates' skills at:		
	<ul> <li>demonstrating knowledge and understanding of religion and belief in accordance with all relevant strands of AO1 indicated in the coverage grid and</li> <li>the analysis and evaluation of aspects of, and approaches to, religion and belief</li> </ul>		
	<b>AO1</b> Candidates may demonstrate knowledge and understanding through the use of some of the following ideas:	(AO1 16)	
	<ul> <li>the issue is that if God is outside time then God must know what choices will be made in the future and therefore that human free will is limited</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>the importance to Boethius of comparing the eternal divine nature with temporal human nature so as to understand that God's knowledge is very different to human knowledge</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Boethius's understanding of God's eternity in terms of all things being simultaneously present to God</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Boethius's distinction within God's knowledge of all things as necessary, between simple and conditional necessity, the distinction being the addition of the condition</li> </ul>		

Question	Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
	<ul> <li>Boethius's statement that God's providence, seeing all in a simultaneous present, in no way determines a human person's free decision to act</li> </ul>		
	Boethius's conclusion that human free will is retained and God continues justly to reward and punish those who deserve it.		
	<b>AO2</b> Candidates may demonstrate evaluation and analysis through the use of some of the following arguments.		
	<ul> <li>Some candidates might argue that Boethius's argument is successful because:</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>it validly emphasises the inaccessibility of human understanding relative to God</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>it successfully distinguishes between human perceptions of the divine nature and God's nature itself and therefore challenges other limited approaches</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>it maintains God's eternity and avoids the pitfalls of placing God within time</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Boethius was successfully developed by later philosophers, for example Anselm in his four-dimensionalist approach</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>he successfully defends the classical nature of God.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Some candidates might argue that Boethius's argument is not successful because:</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>it requires Christian theology as a whole to be examined afresh and is therefore contradictory to revealed theology</li> </ul>		
	o it assumes the existence of God		
	<ul> <li>a different model of God, such as God being within time, provides a different and more successful understanding of the</li> </ul>		

Question	Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
	nature of human freedom		
	<ul> <li>Boethius assumes that human freedom needs to be preserved</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>the idea of God's timelessness is incoherent; God created time and must therefore have a relationship to it.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Some candidates might combine these views and argue that Boethius is partially successful and was more successfully developed by later thinkers who preserve the relationship between God and humanity.</li> </ul>		

Question	Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
4	'Corporate religious experiences are less reliable than individual religious experiences.' Discuss.  The following is a description of possible content only; all legitimate answers and approaches must be credited appropriately. Learners are expected to make use of scholarly views, academic approaches and sources of wisdom and authority to support their argument.	40	
	The Levels of Response must be used in conjunction with the outlined indicative content. Weak, basic, satisfactory, good and very good responses will differentiate candidates' skills at:		
	<ul> <li>demonstrating knowledge and understanding of religion and belief in accordance with all relevant strands of AO1 indicated in the coverage grid and</li> <li>the analysis and evaluation of aspects of, and approaches to, religion and belief</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>AO1 Candidates may demonstrate knowledge and understanding through the use of some of the following ideas:</li> <li>examples of different types of corporate religious experience that have been studied</li> </ul>	(AO1 16)	
	<ul> <li>examples of different types of individual religious experience that have been studied</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>the views and main conclusions of William James on religious experience in terms of mystical experience and conversion experience</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>the idea that reliability of religious experiences can be found through looking for a common core to them</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>the idea that religious experiences can, for some, be measured through the fruits of the experience</li> </ul>		

Question	Indicative co	ntent	Marks	Guidance
	<ul> <li>the idea that, for some, personal test support the validity of individual religi</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>AO2 Candidates may demonstrate evaluations of the following arguments.</li> <li>Some candidates might argue that colless reliable than individual religious</li> </ul>	rporate religious experiences are	(AO2 24)	
	<ul> <li>corporate religious experience hysteria or similar phenomer</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		gious experiences are relatively is a longer tradition of individual		
	<ul> <li>corporate religious experience lasting change in a person</li> </ul>	ces do not seem to lead to long-		
	, ,	periences are closely associated nristianity, which do not have a em		
	<ul> <li>some corporate religious exp through music, atmosphere</li> </ul>	periences are more easily induced or repetition.		
	<ul> <li>Some candidates might argue that commore reliable than individual religious</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>there are a greater number of the individual experience is remainder.</li> </ul>	of witnesses; the logical privacy of not relevant		
	<ul> <li>there is less of a sense of incommunicating in human ter</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	<ul> <li>there is a commonality to ma people experience the same</li> </ul>	ny corporate experiences where things as each other and		

Question	Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
	sometimes on more than one occasion		
	<ul> <li>it is unlikely that many people will have been affected by factors that might have induced or fabricated the religious experience, but more possible that this could happen to an individual</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>it might be argued to make more sense for a deity to communicate with a range of people, rather than a few.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Some candidates might combine these views and argue that corporate religious experiences and individual religious experiences have equal credibility:</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>by suggesting that there are sufficient counter-explanations that apply to both</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>by suggesting that a deity would reveal themselves to humans in a range of ways, including individuals and groups.</li> </ul>		

Assessment Objective (AO) Grids

AO1 Mapping	Assessed?	Question
Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of religion and belief, including:		
<ul> <li>religious, philosophical and/or ethical thought and teaching</li> </ul>	Υ	1-4
<ul> <li>influence of beliefs, teachings and practices on individuals, communities and societies</li> </ul>		
cause and significance of similarities and differences in belief, teaching and practice		
approaches to the study of religion and belief.	Υ	1-4

AO2 Mapping	Assessed?	Question
Analyse and evaluate aspects of, and approaches to, religion		
and belief, including their significance, influence and study.	Υ	1-4

**OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)** The Triangle Building **Shaftesbury Road** Cambridge **CB2 8EA** 

#### **OCR Customer Contact Centre**

#### **Education and Learning**

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

#### www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA Registered Company Number: 3484466 **OCR** is an exempt Charity

**OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office** 

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



