

# GCE

# **Economics**

H460/02: Macroeconomics

Advanced GCE

# Mark Scheme for June 2019

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2019

#### Annotations

Annotation	Meaning
BP	Blank Page – this annotation must be used on all blank pages within an answer booklet (structured or unstructured) and on each page of an additional object where there is no candidate response.
$\checkmark$	Tick
×	Cross
CON	Confused
BOD	Benefit of doubt
KU	AO1 – Knowledge and understanding
APP	AO2 – Apply knowledge and understanding
AN	AO3 - Analyse
EVAL	AO4 - Evaluation
<b>^</b>	Omission
NAQ	Not answered question
SEEN	Noted but no credit given
TV	Too vague
OFR	Own figure rule
REP	Repetition

#### Subject-specific Marking Instructions

### INTRODUCTION

Your first task as an Examiner is to become thoroughly familiar with the material on which the examination depends. This material includes:

- the specification, especially the assessment objectives
- the question paper and its rubrics
- the mark scheme.

You should ensure that you have copies of these materials.

You should ensure also that you are familiar with the administrative procedures related to the marking process. These are set out in the OCR booklet **Instructions for Examiners**. If you are examining for the first time, please read carefully **Appendix 5 Introduction to Script Marking: Notes for New Examiners**.

Please ask for help or guidance whenever you need it. Your first point of contact is your Team Leader.

#### **Rubric Infringement**

Candidates may infringe the rubric in the following way:

• answering two questions from Sections B and/or C.

If a candidate has written two answers for Section B or C, mark both answers and award the highest mark achieved.

# USING THE MARK SCHEME

Please study this Mark Scheme carefully. The Mark Scheme is an integral part of the process that begins with the setting of the question paper and ends with the awarding of grades. Question papers and Mark Schemes are developed in association with each other so that issues of differentiation and positive achievement can be addressed from the very start.

This Mark Scheme is a working document; it is not exhaustive; it does not provide 'correct' answers. The Mark Scheme can only provide 'best guesses' about how the question will work out, and it is subject to revision after we have looked at a wide range of scripts.

Please read carefully all the scripts in your allocation and make every effort to look positively for achievement throughout the ability range. Always be prepared to use the full range of marks.

Levels of response / Level descriptors	Knowledge and understanding/ Application	Analysis	Evaluation
Strong	Precision in the use of the	An explanation of causes and consequences, fully developing the links in the chain of argument.	A conclusion is drawn weighing up both sides, and reaches a supported judgement.
Good	terms in the question and applied in a focused way to the context of the question.	An explanation of causes and consequences, developing most of the links in the chain of argument.	A conclusion is drawn weighing up both sides, but without reaching a supported judgement.
Reasonable	Awareness of the meaning of the terms in the question and applied to the context of the question.	An explanation of causes and consequences, which omit some key links in the chain of argument.	Some attempt to come to a conclusion, which shows some recognition of the influencing factors.
Limited	Awareness of the meaning of the terms in the question.	Simple statement(s) of cause and consequence.	An unsupported assertion.

Qu	lestic	on	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1	(a)		Distinguish between absolute advantage and comparative advantage. Absolute advantage is being able produce the product with less resources/lower unit costs/produce more with fewer inputs. (1) whereas comparative advantage is being able to produce a product at a lower opportunity cost (1).	<b>2</b> (AO1 x2)	
1	(b)		Explain how Fig. 1 illustrates the Harrod-Domar model. A model that says the rate of growth = the savings ratio/the capital output ratio (productivity of capital investment) (1) the growth of savings, leading to growth of Investment and/or growth of capital production to increase in world growth as shown by fig 1(1)	<b>2</b> (AO2 x2)	
1	(c)	(i)	Using Table 1, explain which country's government made a negative contribution to the country's aggregate demand. Germany (1) government tax revenue exceeded government expenditure (1).	<b>2</b> (AO1 x1 AO2 x1)	Germany (1) government tax revenue US\$1598bn exceeds government expenditure of US\$1573bn. (1) <b>Or</b> Government tax revenue was higher than Government expenditure by US\$25bn (1)
1	(c)	(ii)	Using Table 1, calculate Russia's GDP in 2017. \$1 468.21bn (2). Correct working i.e. \$254bn/17.3 x 100 (1)	<b>2</b> (AO1 x1 AO2 x1)	Accept: \$1468bn/\$1468.2bn/\$1468.208bn. If just \$1468 <b>or</b> 1468bn (1)
1	(d)		Using information from the stimulus material, calculate the UK's marginal propensity to import in 2017. 0.3 (2). Correct working or formula: £330/£1,100 i.e. change in imports/change in disposable income (1).	<b>2</b> (AO2 x2)	

1 (e)	Using Table 2, evaluate to what extent differences in	8	Indicative content
	corporate tax rates explain differences in foreign		
	direct investment in countries. Level 2 (5–8 marks) Good knowledge and understanding of corporate tax rates and foreign direct investment.	(AO1 x 1 AO2 x 1 AO3 x 3 AO4 x 3)	An inverse relationship is the expected one. Foreign firms are likely to be attracted by a low corporation tax rate as more of any profit earned can be kept by the firm. This could be paid to shareholders or used to reinvest. This is shown by:
	Good – strong analysis of what the table shows and how a low corporate tax rate may attract FDI and vice versa. Good analysis will be in the form of developed links. These links are developed through a chain of reasoning which addresses the question. Any relevant diagram(s) are predominantly correct and linked to the analysis. Strong analysis will have consistently well- developed links through a coherent chain of reasoning which addresses the question. Any relevant diagram(s) are predominantly correct with no significant errors that affect the validity of the analysis. Any diagrams must be integral to the analysis. Reasonable – strong evaluation of whether a low corporate tax rate will attract FDI/a high corporate tax rate will discourage FDI, considering both why differences in corporate tax rates may explain differences in FDI and why they might not, underpinned by appropriate theoretical analysis. Good evaluation will weigh up the reasons why it might and the reasons why it might not but without reaching a supported judgement. Strong evaluation should include a supported judgement. Level 1 (1–4 marks) Limited – reasonable knowledge and understanding of corporate tax rates and foreign direct investment.		<ul> <li>The two countries with the lowest corporation tax rates, Ireland and the UK, attracted the most foreign direct investment per head.</li> <li>India, which has the 6<sup>th</sup> highest corporation tax had the lowest FDI per head.</li> <li>With an analysis of why.</li> <li>However, this is not shown by: <ul> <li>The USA, who has the highest corporation tax rate but the middle level of FDI.</li> <li>The level of FDI is influenced by a number of factors and not just the rate of corporation tax. For instance, firms may be attracted by the presence of skilled labour and good infrastructure. To finance a good education system and provision of, for instance, good roads, a government may impose relatively high tax rates.</li> <li>A growing economy may also attract FDI as foreign firms may expect a growing market for their products.</li> <li>Foreign MNCs will be encouraged to set up in countries where they expect to receive high net profit.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Limited – reasonable analysis of what the table shows and how a low corporate tax rate may attract FDI and vice versa. Limited analysis will have little evidence of reasoning that addresses the question asked. There is a lack of a clear structure. Reasonable analysis will have correct analysis largely in the form of single links. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. Any relevant diagram(s) may be imperfectly labelled or not linked to the analysis.</li> <li>Limited evaluation of how differences in corporate tax rates may affect foreign direct investment in countries in the form of an unsupported statement or no evaluation.</li> <li>0 marks No response worthy of credit.</li> <li>Note: although a diagram is not required, it may enhance the quality of the answer and should be rewarded at the appropriate level.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>own it is unlikely to be sufficient.</li> <li>A low corporation tax rate will not attract FDI if it is anticipated that it will not be possible to earn a high enough net profit because of low demand and/or high average cost of production. A 40% corporation tax on a £50 million profit is more attractive than a 10% corporation tax on a £5 million profit.</li> <li>The FDI per head may be distorted by population figures.</li> <li>NB Do not award lower corporation tax lowers costs of production.</li> </ul>
Descriptor	Award mark
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level
Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1 (f) *	AnswerEvaluate whether the growth of emerging economieswill benefit the UK economy.Level 3 (9–12 marks)Good knowledge and understanding of emergingeconomies and UK macroeconomic performance.Good – strong analysis of how the growth of emergingeconomies may affect the UK economy. Good analysiswill be in the form of developed links. These links aredeveloped through a chain of reasoning which addressesthe question. Any relevant diagram(s) are predominantlycorrect and linked to the analysis. Strong analysis willhave consistently well-developed links through acoherent chain of reasoning which addresses thequestion. Any relevant diagram(s) are predominantlycorrect with no significant errors that affect the validity ofthe analysis. Any diagrams must be integral to theanalysis.Good - strong evaluation of whether the growth ofemerging economies will benefit the UK economy,weighing both why it might and why it might not. Strong	(A01 x 1 A02 x 1 A03 x 5 A04 x 5)	<ul> <li>Indicative content The growth of emerging economies may benefit the UK economy in a number of ways. <ul> <li>Higher output in these economies will raise incomes. Some of the higher incomes may be spent on UK exports.</li> <li>A rise in UK exports may reduce the deficit on the current account of the balance of payments. <li>Higher demand for UK products may also contribute to a rise in UK economic growth and a fall in UK unemployment.</li> <li>More profitable firms in emerging economies may have funds available to set up branches or buy out firms in the UK. <li>Emerging economies MNCs may increase the output and employment of the UK. They may introduce new products and new production methods.</li> <li>MNCs also usually contribute disproportionately to their host country's exports. </li> <li>The growth of emerging economies may not benefit the UK economy.</li> <li>Growth may be export-led. The emerging</li> </li></li></ul></li></ul>
	<b>evaluation</b> should include a supported judgment. There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and substantiated.		<ul> <li>economies' firms may capture some of the UK's export markets and may sell more to the UK economy.</li> <li>A reduction in exports and an increase in imports would have an adverse effect on the UK balance</li> </ul>
	Level 2 (5–8 marks) Good knowledge and understanding of the growth of emerging economies may affect the UK economy. Reasonable analysis of how the growth of emerging		<ul> <li>of payments and aggregate demand.</li> <li>Rising incomes and possibly improvements in skills and infrastructure in emerging economies may encourage some UK firms to relocate some of their production to branches in India and</li> </ul>
	economies may affect the UK economy. There is correct		China. This could reduce output, employment

Descriptor	Award mark
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level
Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
On the borderline of this level and the one below	At bottom of level

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2 *	Evaluate, with the use of an appropriate diagram(s),	25	Indicative content
	whether an increase in private sector investment will		The reasons why it will help.
	help a government achieve its macroeconomic	(AO1 x 6 AO2 x 6	An increase in private sector investment means that
	objectives	AO3 x 6	firms are purchasing more capital goods. This will
		AO4 x 7)	increase aggregate demand. Investment is an injection
	Level 5 (21–25 marks)		into the circular flow of income, so there will be a
	Good -Strong knowledge and understanding of		multiplier effect. Aggregate demand will rise by a greate
	investment and macroeconomic policy objectives.		amount than the initial increase in investment. The
			higher demand will be likely to cause capital goods and
	Strong analysis of whether an increase in private		consumer goods firms to increase their output. The
	sector investment will help a government achieve its		country's economic growth rate may rise and
	macroeconomic objectives		unemployment may fall.
	Strong analysis will have consistently well-developed		In the longer run higher investment will increase
	links through a coherent chain of reasoning which		aggregate supply as well as aggregate demand. This
	addresses the question. Any relevant diagram(s) are		could allow an economy to grow without the economy
	predominantly correct with no significant errors that affect		experiencing inflation as shown in the diagram below.
	the validity of the analysis. Any diagrams must be integral		
	to the analysis.		PAICE AP ADI
			Level
	Strong evaluation of whether an increase in private		
	sector investment will help a government achieve its		
	macroeconomic objectives		
	weighing up both why they might and why they might not		
	and reaching a supported judgment.		
	There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning		P
	which is coherent and logically structured. The information		IDA
	presented is entirely relevant and substantiated.		
	Level 4 (16–20 marks)		
	Good knowledge and understanding of investment and		C O Y YI NONL GOP
	macroeconomic policy objectives.		
	Strong analysis of whether an increase in private		

sector investment will help a government achieve its	As new AS capital goods are put into use, the price and
macroeconomic objectives	quality competition of the country's products may rise.
Strong analysis will have consistently well-developed	This could increase net exports and reduce a current
links through a <b>coherent</b> chain of reasoning which	account deficit.
addresses the question. Any relevant diagram(s) are	
predominantly correct with no significant errors that affect	The reasons why it will not help
the validity of the analysis. Any diagrams must be integral	If the capital goods are purchased from abroad, this may
to the analysis.	increase a current account deficit. The higher aggregate
Good evaluation of whether an increase in private	demand may also result in demand-pull inflation. If the economy is operating close to full capacity, extra
sector investment will help a government achieve its	demand for capital goods and consumer goods may pull
macroeconomic objectives and will weigh up both sides	up prices. The diagram below shows the rise in
but without reaching a supported judgment.	aggregate demand from AD to AD <sub>1</sub> , causing the price
but without reaching a supported judgment.	level to rise from P to $P_1$ .
There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear	
and logically structured. The information presented is	
relevant and in the most part substantiated.	A AN
	PRICO NO AS
Level 3 (11–15 marks)	
Good knowledge and understanding of investment and	
macroeconomic policy objectives.	
Good analysis of whether an increase in private	
sector investment will help a government achieve its	P1
macroeconomic objectives	P
There is correct analysis in the form of developed links. These links are developed through a chain of reasoning	Aller
which addresses the question. Any relevant diagram(s)	
are predominantly correct and linked to the analysis.	BD
are predominantly concertant initial to the analysis.	
Reasonable evaluation of whether an increase in	
private sector investment will help a government	C D Y Yi Ren gar
achieve its macroeconomic objectives, considering	
both why it might and why it might not.	

There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence. Level 2 (6–10 marks) Good knowledge and understanding of investment and macroeconomic policy objectives.	<ul> <li>There is also the possibility that private sector investment may replace public sector demand. If private sector firms are borrowing more, this may raise the rate of interest. A higher rate of interest will increase the cost of servicing government debt. This may put pressure on the government to cut its spending.</li> <li>The impact on unemployment is uncertain. Firms in the private sector may be more willing than public sector</li> </ul>
Reasonable analysis of whether an increase in private sector investment will help a government achieve its macroeconomic objectives There is correct analysis largely in the form of single links. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. Any relevant diagram(s) may be imperfectly labelled or not linked to the analysis.	firms to dismiss workers. New capital equipment may also be a substitute rather than a complement to labour. Some jobs may be lost as a result of advances in technology incorporated into new capital equipment. The effect is, however, uncertain. New technology can create new industries and new jobs. For instance, there is now a thriving games industry with games designers and testers.
Reasonable evaluation of whether an increase in private sector investment will help a government achieve its macroeconomic objectives considering both why it might and why it might not. The information has some relevance, but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence, the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.	Possible judgment. It is generally considered that increases in private sector investment will help a government achieve its macroeconomic objectives. This is more likely if the increase is not offset by a decrease in public sector investment and if it generates extra jobs.
Level 1 (1–5 marks) Reasonable knowledge and understanding of investment and macroeconomic policy objectives. Limited or no analysis of whether an increase in private sector investment will help a government	

<ul> <li>achieve its macroeconomic objectives <ul> <li>There is little evidence of reasoning that addresses the question asked. There is a lack of a clear structure. The relevant diagram(s) may not be present or are incorrectly labelled.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Limited evaluation of whether an increase in private sector investment will help a government achieve its macroeconomic objectives <ul> <li>in the form of an unsupported statement or no evaluation.</li> <li>Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.</li> </ul> </li> <li>0 marks No response worthy of credit.</li> </ul>	
Descriptor	Award mark
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level
Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of
	level (depending on number of marks available)
On the borderline of this level and the one below	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3 *	Evaluate, with the use of an appropriate diagram(s),	25	Indicative content
	whether a cut in government spending on welfare		
	benefits will increase income inequality.	(AO1 x 6 AO2 x 6	Reasons why it will increase income inequality.
		AO3 x 6	A cut in government spending on welfare benefits may
	Level 5 (21–25 marks)	AO4 x 7)	reduce the income of various categories of the poor.
	Good-Strong knowledge and understanding of welfare		These include the unemployed, the sick and the old. If
	benefits and income inequality.		this occurs, both absolute and relative poverty will
			increase. Income will become more unevenly distributed
	Strong analysis of how a cut in government spending on	l	This increase in income inequality can be illustrated on a
	welfare benefits may affect income inequality. It will have		Lorenz curve diagram. The curve will move further away
	consistently well-developed links through a coherent		from the line of income equality.
	chain of reasoning which addresses the question. Any		U
	relevant diagram(s) are predominantly correct with no		Cumplearture
	significant errors that affect the validity of the analysis.		7.
	Any diagrams must be integral to the analysis.		oF
			INJEGING
	Strong evaluation of whether a cut in government		
	spending on welfare benefits may affect income		57////
	inequality, weighing up both why it might and why it might		2 SPY
	not and reaching a supported judgment.		67
			4° / /
	There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning		Jer /
	which is coherent and logically structured. The information		
	presented is entirely relevant and substantiated.		
	Level 4 (16–20 marks)		
	Good knowledge and understanding of welfare benefits		
	and income inequality.		CUMULATIVE % OF POPULATION
	Strong analysis of how a cut in government spending on		Lower government spending may reduce aggregate
	welfare benefits may affect income inequality. It will have		demand by a multiple amount. If the income of the poor
	consistently well-developed links through a coherent		falls, consumer expenditure may also fall. Lower
	chain of reasoning which addresses the question. Any		aggregate demand may reduce output and employment.
	relevant diagram(s) are predominantly correct with no		

significant errors that affect the validity of the analysis. Any diagrams must be integral to the analysis.	
<b>Good evaluation</b> of whether a cut in government spending on welfare benefits may affect income inequality, weighing up both why it might and why it might not without reaching a supported judgment.	PRICE LEVEL PDI
There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and in the most part substantiated.	P
Level 3 (11–15 marks) Good knowledge and understanding of welfare benefits and income inequality	PI
<b>Good analysis</b> of how a cut in government spending on welfare benefits may affect income inequality. There is correct analysis in the form of developed links. These links are developed through a chain of reasoning which addresses the question. Any relevant diagram(s) are predominantly correct and linked to the analysis.	A rise in unemployment may also increase income inequality.
<b>Reasonable evaluation</b> of whether a cut in government spending on welfare benefits may affect income inequality, considering both sides.	There may also be a longer term effect on income inequality. The poor may experience worse health which will reduce their earning potential. Their children may spend less time in education and may have lower
There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.	aspirations. Reasons why it may not reduce income inequality.
Level 2 (6–10 marks) Good knowledge and understanding of welfare benefits and income inequality.	It is possible, however, that lower welfare benefits may have an incentive effect. They may encourage the unemployed to make more effort to find work. If this occurs, income inequality may be reduced.

Reasonable analysis of how a cut in government spending on welfare benefits may affect income inequality. There is correct analysis largely in the form of single links. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. Any relevant diagram(s) may be imperfectly labelled or not linked to the analysis.	If a reduction in pensions encourages people to work past retirement age, the size of the labour force will increase. A higher labour force will increase the country's productive potential. If this potential is used, tax revenue will rise and so of this may be spent on, for instance, education and job creation schemes, which may reduce poverty and income inequality.
Reasonable evaluation of whether a cut in government spending on welfare benefits may affect income inequality, considering both sides.         The information has some relevance, but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence, the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.         Level 1 (1–5 marks)	A cut in government spending on welfare benefits may also enable tax rates to be cut or government borrowing to be reduced. The effect of lower tax rates on income inequality will be influenced by which tax rates are cut. A rise in the threshold at which income tax is paid, for instance, may reduce income inequality. Lower government borrowing may result in crowding in. If private sector investment increases, more jobs may be created which, again, may reduce income inequality.
Reasonable knowledge and understanding of welfare benefits and income inequality.         Limited or no analysis of how a cut in government spending on welfare benefits may affect income inequality. There is little evidence of reasoning that addresses the question asked. There is a lack of a clear	Possible judgement. How welfare cuts will affect income inequality will depend on a number of factors. One how the replacement rate is affected. If the proportion of out of work benefits received compared to take home pay is still close, it may not have much effect.
structure. The relevant diagram(s) may not be present or are incorrectly labelled. Limited evaluation of whether a cut in government spending on welfare benefits may affect income inequality, in the form of an unsupported statement or <b>no</b> evaluation. Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.	<ul><li>Another factor is the number of jobs available. The unemployed may be more incentivised to seek employment but if there are few job vacancies, they will not be successful.</li><li>In addition, the outcome will be influenced by who receives the welfare benefits. Some go to people who live in rich households.</li></ul>

	<b>0 marks</b> No response worthy of credit.			
	Descriptor         Consistently meets the criteria for this level         Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency         Just enough achievement on balance for this level		Award mark	
			At top of level	
			Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)	
			Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)	
	On the borderline of this level and the one below	At bottom of level		
Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance	
4 *	Evaluate whether economic growth increases	25	Indicative content	
	happiness.	(AO1 x 6 AO2 x 6	Reasons why economic growth increases happiness. Economic growth has the potential to increase	
	Level 5 (21–25 marks)	AO3 x 6	happiness. If output rises by more than inflation and	
	Good-Strong knowledge and understanding of	AO4 x 7)	population, real GDP per head will rise. With higher	
	economic growth and happiness.	incomes, people are able to consume more goods and services. Higher incomes may enable people to		
	Strong analysis of how economic growth may affect	purchase a range of products that will make life easier,		
	happiness. It will have <b>consistently</b> well-developed links	such as dishwashers, and more products that will make		
	through a <b>coherent</b> chain of reasoning which addresses	life more enjoyable, such as foreign holidays. Producing		
	the question. Any relevant diagram(s) are predominantly	more goods and services is likely to increase		
	correct with no significant errors that affect the validity of		employment. This may reduce poverty. Some people	
	the analysis. Any diagrams must be integral to the analysis.		who were unemployed may not only be able to afford basic necessities but also some luxuries.	
	Strong evaluation of whether economic growth will		Economic growth may make workers happier. This is	
	increase happiness, weighing up both why it might and		because they may feel more confident about retaining	
	why it might not and reaching a supported judgment.		their jobs and more confident about gaining promotion. If	
			firms are receiving more revenue they may also improve	
	There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning		workers' working conditions.	
	which is coherent and logically structured. The information			
	presented is entirely relevant and substantiated.		Economic growth is likely to increase tax revenue. Some	
			of this may be spent on healthcare and education. A	
	Level 4 (16–20 marks)	healthy population is likely to be a happy population. It is		
	Good knowledge and understanding of economic		likely to experience less stress and less discomfort and a	
	growth and happiness.		longer life expectancy than a less healthy one. A more	

	educated population will have more experience and the
	likelihood of more stimulating employment. The higher
	tax revenue resulting from economic growth may also
· ·	enable a government to improve the living standards of
	the poor without reducing the living standards of the rich.
•	
the analysis. Any diagrams must be integral to the	Reasons why economic growth may not increase
analysis.	happiness.
	The Easterlin Paradox suggests that while richer people
Good evaluation of whether economic growth will	in a country are happier than poorer people in the same
increase happiness, weighing up both why it might and	country, people in richer countries are not always
why it might not, weighing up both sides but without	happier than people in poorer countries. There are a
reaching a supported judgment.	number of possible reasons why higher GDP per head
	may not always be associated with greater happiness.
There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear	One is there may be what Richard Easterlin called
and logically structured. The information presented is	treadmill effects. For example, the higher incomes
relevant and in the most part substantiated.	people have, the more goods and services they need to
	gain the same level of satisfaction. It is also possible that
Level 3 (11–15 marks)	people may be more concerned with their relative rather
Good knowledge and understanding of economic	than their absolute income. For example, a person may
growth and happiness.	be happier with an annual income of £40,000 if most
	other people earn £30,000 than an income of £50,000 if
Good analysis of how economic growth may affect	most other people earn £60,000.
happiness. There is correct analysis in the form of	
developed links. These links are developed through a	Economic growth may not benefit everyone equally.
chain of reasoning which addresses the question. Any	Average incomes may rise but the main benefit may go
relevant diagram(s) are predominantly correct and linked	to a small proportion of already rich people. Most people
to the analysis.	may gain higher incomes but if they are aware that the
Reasonable evaluation of whether economic growth will	gap between their lifestyle and that of the rich has
reduce happiness, considering both why it might and why	widened, they may fell less satisfied.
it might not.	
	Economic growth may also be associated with higher
There is a line of reasoning presented with some	levels of pollution and other environmental damage. This
structure. The information presented is in the most-part	may arise for a number of reasons. Noise, air, water and
relevant and supported by some evidence.	visual pollution may be caused by increases in
	<ul> <li>Good evaluation of whether economic growth will increase happiness, weighing up both why it might and why it might not, weighing up both sides but without reaching a supported judgment.</li> <li>There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and in the most part substantiated.</li> <li>Level 3 (11–15 marks)</li> <li>Good knowledge and understanding of economic growth and happiness.</li> <li>Good analysis of how economic growth may affect happiness. There is correct analysis in the form of developed links. These links are developed through a chain of reasoning which addresses the question. Any relevant diagram(s) are predominantly correct and linked to the analysis.</li> <li>Reasonable evaluation of whether economic growth will reduce happiness, considering both why it might and why it might not.</li> <li>There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part</li> </ul>

Level 2 (6–10 marks) Good knowledge and understanding of economic growth and happiness. Reasonable analysis of how economic growth may affect happiness. There is correct analysis largely in the form of single links. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. Any relevant diagram(s) may be imperfectly labelled or not linked to the analysis. **Reasonable evaluation** of whether economic growth will increase happiness, considering both why it might and why it might not. The information has some relevance, but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence, the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.

#### Level 1 (1–5 marks) Reasonable knowledge and understanding of economic growth and happiness.

Limited or no analysis of how economic growth may affect happiness. There is little evidence of reasoning that addresses the question asked. There is a lack of a clear structure. The relevant diagram(s) may not be present or are incorrectly labelled.

**Limited evaluation** of whether economic growth will increase happiness in the form of an unsupported statement or **no** evaluation.

production. More housebuilding may result in a loss of open spaces and wildlife habitats. A rise in incomes is also usually accompanied with more car travel. This is because more people are likely to be in work and so will have to travel to and from their place of employment. People will engage in more leisure activities which may involve travel and more people will be able to afford a car. Greater car use can result in both pollution and congestion. More roads may be built which again can cause visual pollution and result in a loss in green spaces. China, for instance, has experienced very high levels of pollution and road congestion is increasing significantly.

Economic growth also does not guarantee an increase in working conditions. Some workers may gain promotion and better paid jobs but this may come with greater stress. For some people, working hours may increase and this may reduce happiness.

Economic growth can bring disruption to people's lives. In China, for instance, some people have moved from the countryside to jobs in cities, leaving their families behind.

### Possible judgement.

Economic growth does have the potential to increase material living standards, on average. This does not mean that everyone will enjoy more goods and services. Even those who do have more goods and services may not feel happier. Happiness can be influenced by a range of factors which are not connected with economic growth. These include, for instance, weather conditions and personal relationships.

<ul> <li>Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.</li> <li>0 marks No response worthy of credit.</li> <li>Note: although a diagram is not required, it may enhance the quality of the answer and should be rewarded at the appropriate level.</li> </ul>	Economic growth is more likely to increase happiness if the benefits are evenly spread and it is associated with improved working conditions and improvements in environmental conditions by, for instance, developing cleaner fuel. Economic growth itself may or may not increase happiness it is the access to the growth process that allows a window of opportunity for increased happiness		
Descriptor	Award mark		
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level		
Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)		
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)		
On the borderline of this level and the one below	At bottom of level		

Qu	estion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
5	*	Evaluate whether the UK financial sector makes a	25	Indicative content
		positive contribution to the UK economy.		Reasons why the financial sector makes a positive
			(AO1 x 6 AO2 x 6	contribution to the UK Economy
		Level 5 (21–25 marks)	AO3 x 6	The UK financial sector has and continues to provide a
		Good-Strong knowledge and understanding of the UK	AO4 x 7)	range of services that help the UK economy to operate.
		financial sector.		Banks enable the government, firms and households to
				make and receive payments, to save and to borrow.
		Strong analysis of the contribution the UK financial		They act as an intermediary between those who have
		sector makes to the UK economy. It will have		more funds than they currently want to spend and those
		consistently well-developed links through a coherent		who want more funds than they have available at the
		chain of reasoning which addresses the question. Any		moment. Banks, insurance companies, hedge funds and
		relevant diagram(s) are predominantly correct with no		pension companies enable firms and households to pool
		significant errors that affect the validity of the analysis.		risks.
		Any diagrams must be integral to the analysis.		The steple such as a decle in second hand shares and
		Strong evoluction of the contribution the LIK financial		The stock exchange deals in second hand shares and
		<b>Strong evaluation</b> of the contribution the UK financial sector makes to the UK economy, weighing up both the		government securities. By providing a market for the purchase and sale of shares and government bonds. It
		positive and negative impacts it has had on the UK		makes it easier for firms and the government to sell
		economy and reaching a supported judgment.		shares and government securities. The existence of the
		coonomy and reaching a supported judgment.		stock exchange also enables firms to grow externally by
		There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning		merging or taking over other firms.
		which is coherent and logically structured. The information		
		presented is entirely relevant and substantiated.		The foreign exchange market provides importers and
		······		exporters and those investing overseas to buy and sell
		Level 4 (16–20 marks)		currencies. Most of the trade is, however, undertaken by
		Good knowledge and understanding of the UK financial		those speculating on changes in the value of different
		sector.		currencies. Speculation can cause large fluctuations in
				exchange rates which can create uncertainty and
		Strong analysis of the contribution the UK financial		discourage investment. It does, though, enable
		sector makes to the UK economy. It will have		governments to influence the value of their currencies by
		consistently well-developed links through a coherent		buying and selling their currency.
		chain of reasoning which addresses the question. Any		
		relevant diagram(s) are predominantly correct with no		The UK has a relatively large financial sector. It
		significant errors that affect the validity of the analysis.		contributes just over 10% of the country's output and

Any diagrams must be integral to the analysis.	makes a very significant contribution, nearly 50%, to the output of London.
Good evaluation of the contribution the UK financial	
sector makes to the UK economy, weighing up both the	Over the years, the financial sector has generated a
positive and negative impacts it has had on the UK	considerable amount of tax revenue. It has also provided
economy but without reaching a supported judgment.	a relatively high number of jobs. In 2017, more than a million workers were employed in the financial sector. A
There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear	relatively, and increasingly, high proportion of these jobs
and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and in the most part substantiated.	are well-paid.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The UK appears to have a comparative advantage in
Level 3 (11–15 marks)	financial services, providing a wide range of high-quality
<b>Good</b> knowledge and understanding of the UK financial	services at a competitive price. Exports of financial
sector.	services are the largest, single component of UK exports
	of goods and services. The UK has had a substantial
Good analysis of the contribution the UK financial sector	surplus on its trade in financial services in the last twenty
makes to the UK economy. There is correct analysis in	years. A country's financial sector has the potential to
the form of developed links. These links are developed	be the driver for innovation and economic growth by
through a chain of reasoning which addresses the	promoting investment.
question. Any relevant diagram(s) are predominantly	
correct and linked to the analysis.	Reasons why the financial sector has made a negative
	contribution to the UK Economy.
Reasonable evaluation of the contribution the UK	The UK's financial sector, however, has been criticised
financial sector makes to the UK economy, considering	for concentrating on loans to households and interbank
both the positive and negative impacts it has had on the	loans. A relatively small proportion of UK loans are loans
UK economy.	to firms to spend on capital goods. This may be one
	reason why the UK has a relatively low rate of
There is a line of reasoning presented with some	investment compared to other OECD countries.
structure. The information presented is in the most-part	Loans to households, including mortgages, have helped
relevant and supported by some evidence.	to fuel consumer booms and inflation in the past. The
	financial sector, specifically the banks, played a key role
Level 2 (6–10 marks)	in the global financial crisis and recession of 2007 and
Good knowledge and understanding of the UK financial	2008. US banks had lent to low income households to
sector.	buy homes. The mortgages they gave were often more
	than the face value of the homes and were sometimes

<ul> <li>Reasonable analysis of the contribution the UK financial sector makes to the UK economy. There is correct analysis largely in the form of single links. These address the question but are not developed into a clear chain of reasoning. Any relevant diagram(s) may be imperfectly labelled or not linked to the analysis.</li> <li>Reasonable evaluation of the contribution the UK financial sector makes to the UK economy, considering both the positive and negative impacts it has had on the UK economy.</li> </ul>	more than six times the borrowers' incomes. Some of these so-called sub-prime were sold in bundles of debt to other financial institutions, including UK banks. When unemployment started to rise in the USA, there were mortgage defaults. US banks got into difficulty with the biggest bankruptcy in the world occurring in 2008 when Lehman Brothers' Bank went out of business. A number of UK banks had to be helped by the government. A credit crunch developed with banks becoming reluctant to lend. This, in turn, resulted in households spending less and firms reducing their output.
The information has some relevance, but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence, the relationship to the evidence may not be clear. Level 1 (1–5 marks) Reasonable knowledge and understanding of the UK financial sector.	Other valid evaluation. <ul> <li>Market failure in the financial sector.</li> <li>Market bubbles.</li> <li>externalities</li> </ul> Possible judgement.
financial sector. Limited or no analysis of the contribution the UK financial sector makes to the UK economy. There is little evidence of reasoning that addresses the question asked. There is a lack of a clear structure. The relevant diagram(s) may not be present or are incorrectly labelled. Limited evaluation of the contribution the UK financial sector makes to the UK economy in the form of an unsupported statement or no evaluation. Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.	UK financial institutions have played and continue to play a key role in the UK economy over the years. They have and do carry out a range of functions which facilitate trade, utilise savings to finance investment, cover cash shortfalls, finance home purchase and the purchase of consumer durables and allow risks to be shared. The UK financial sector makes a major contribution to the macroeconomy although the benefits, in terms of output and employment, are largely concentrated in the capital. UK banks, anxious to make high profits, did not make sure that they had sufficient funds to cope with defaults. UK banks may also not have facilitated investment as much as possible over the last twenty years by being rather reluctant to give long term loans to

<ul> <li>0 marks no response or no response worthy of credit.</li> <li>Note: although a diagram is not required, it may enhance the quality of the answer and should be rewarded at the appropriate level.</li> </ul>	firms to spend on capital goods. Depends upon regulation from the Bank of England and the FCA.		
Descriptor	Award mark		
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level		
Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)		
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)		
On the borderline of this level and the one below	At bottom of level		

## **Assessment Objectives Grid**

Question	AO1	AO2	AO3	AO4	TOTAL	(Quantitative Skills)
1(a)	2				2	
1(b)	1 (1)	1 (1)			2	(2)
1(c)(i)	1 (1)	1 (1)			3	(2)
1(c)(ii)		2 (2)			2	(2)
1(d)		2 (2)			2	(2)
1(e)	1	1	3	3	8	
1(f)	1	1	5	5	12	
2/3	6 (2)	6 (2)	6 (2)	7 (2)	25	(8)
4/5	6	6	6	7	25	
TOTAL	18 (6)	20 (6)	20 (2)	22 (2)	80	(16)

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) The Triangle Building Shaftesbury Road Cambridge CB2 8EA

**OCR Customer Contact Centre** 

#### **Education and Learning**

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627 Email: <u>general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk</u>

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553





© OCR 2019