

A LEVEL

Examiners' report

PHYSICAL EDUCATION

H555

For first teaching in 2016

H555/02 Autumn 2020 series

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.



Reports for the Autumn 2020 series will provide a broad commentary about candidate performance, with the aim for them to be useful future teaching tools. As an exception for this series they will not contain any questions from the question paper nor examples of candidate answers.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on **File > Export to** and select **Microsoft Word**

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

Paper 2 series overview

The autumn series saw less than 100 candidates complete the unit and the standard varied considerably. Most of the candidates answered all the questions, however some gave no response to one or two questions. Exam technique was solid in the majority of cases.

<i>Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:</i>	<i>Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Answered all questions • Understood command words and linked answers directly to the command word • Showed a thorough depth of knowledge and understanding of a full range of topics • Understood and answered the questions asked • Applied examples to 3 different facts for 6bi) operant conditioning • Were able to analyse the effectiveness of knowledge of performance when used by expert performers • Analysed the goal effectively on 7cii) covering why it could be classed as both effective and ineffective • Understood how self-efficacy could be lowered by applying Bandura's model • Within the levels of response question showed understanding of how the three theories supported and / or contradicted the statement. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Missed questions out • Wrote information that did not answer the specific question being asked – sometimes writing information about the general topic rather than the specific focus of the question E.g. wrote about leadership styles, Chelladurai's model of leadership, or how leaders come about in the levels of response question • Did not always give sporting examples when requested • Struggled to come up with specific examples of massed and distributed practice – some referring instead to sports e.g. football • Were vague in their examples, e.g. referred to a 'football pass' as a simple skill when there are many types of pass • Repeated information that had been given in the question, e.g. reinforcement in 6bi), good communication in 4) • Confused duration and capacity in 6ci) • Could not give 2 or 3 benefits of goal setting

Level of response questions

Most candidates attempted both parts of the question. Weaker responses talked about different aspects of leadership including leadership styles, how leaders can come about, and Chelladurai's model of leadership. Credit was given when candidates described leadership theories and the strongest responses appreciated which leadership theories supported and / or contradicted the statement. These strong responses also had a well-balanced, well-structured answer showing depth and breadth of understanding, and then successfully applied sporting examples in the second part of the answer on different types of guidance

Other

Many candidates that talked about negative reinforcement in 6bi) successfully backed this up with relevant sporting examples showing that this concept is better understood than when it was last examined.

Key teaching and learning points – comments on improving performance

When a question asks for a sporting example of a practice method or of a skill, students must give a skill rather than a sport.

Students would be well reminded that some questions have STEMs to set the scene and sometimes give information that would not get credit if repeated as part of the candidate response. E.g. there is no credit for 'good communication skills' in q4 as this is in the STEM and the question asks for 'other' characteristics. In 6bi) The STEM talks about positive reinforcement and the question asks for 'other' ways. In 7ci) The STEM referred to 'measuring progress' as a benefit of goal setting and the question asked for 'other' benefits.

Guidance on using this paper as a mock

Read the guidance for each question prior to marking for support in how marks should / should not be awarded.

Watch out for students simply repeating the words in the model on q 7d; although it is good practice to identify which part of the model they are talking about, students should then use other words to show they understand it when answering the question. E.g. 'Performance accomplishments could lower self-efficacy if a performer had failed at the skill in the past. Marks can be given if a student makes this point through an example too, e.g. someone that has missed many penalties in the past could develop low self-efficacy in this skill.

When marking the levels of response question use the levels descriptors to help identify a level, and then think if the answer is top, middle or bottom of the level. Remembering the weighting of AO1, AO2 and AO3 as identified in the mark scheme. Responses judging that Trait theory support the statement, Social learning theory contradicts the statement and Interactionist theory partly supports and partly disagrees with evidence of what the theories say should be judged to have given a good response to the 1st question. It is also worth remembering that on the second part of the question it asks for the types of guidance that could be used *to help the performer improve*. So credit would be given if candidates say that mechanical guidance makes a dangerous skill safer, but no credit would be given if they wrote that a possible problem is that performers may then become reliant on this guidance - as this would have a detrimental effect on their performance.

Supporting you

Review of results

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the [OCR website](#). If university places are at stake you may wish to consider priority service 2 reviews of marking which have an earlier deadline to ensure your reviews are processed in time for university applications.

Supporting you through 2020-2021

Our priority is supporting you and your students this autumn and to support you as you prepare for summer 2021 exams. We'll update our [website information](#) regularly with resources, guidance and key information.

Take a look at our support for:

- [Teachers](#)
- [Students](#)
- [Exams officers](#)
- [Assessment specialists](#)

Keep up-to-date

We are sending a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, [sign up here](#).

OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. All our courses for the academic year 2020-2021 are being delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our [website](#) or visit [OCR professional development](#).

Signed up for Exambuilder?

ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals and Functional Skills qualifications. See the full list of available qualifications in the [sign up form](#).

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an [Interchange](#) username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our Customer Support Centre.

General qualifications

01223 553998

general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

Vocational qualifications

02476 851509

vocational.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

For more information visit

 **ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/**

 **ocr.org.uk**

 **[/ocrexams](https://www.facebook.com/ocrexams)**

 **[/ocrexams](https://twitter.com/ocrexams)**

 **[/company/ocr](https://www.linkedin.com/company/ocr)**

 **[/ocrexams](https://www.youtube.com/ocrexams)**

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.



I like this



I dislike this



**Cambridge
Assessment**

OCR is part of Cambridge Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2020 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please [contact us](#).

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our [Expression of Interest form](#).

Please [get in touch](#) if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.