



GCE

Psychology

H567/01: Research methods

Advanced GCE

Mark Scheme for Autumn 2021

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2021

Annotation	Meaning
	Unclear
	Attempts evaluation
	Benefit of doubt
	Context
	Cross
	Evaluation
	Extendable horizontal line
	Extendable horizontal wavy line
	Significant amount of material which doesn't answer the question
	Not answered question
	Good use of resources
	Tick
	Development of point
	Omission mark

Section A: Multiple choice

Ques	Answer
1	B
2	D
3(a)	A
3(b)	B
4	B
5	D
6	C
7	A
8	A
9	C
10	A
11	B
12	D
13	A
14	C
15	D
16	D
17	B
18	B
19	B

Section B: Research design and response

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
20		<p>The self-report method involves obtaining data from participants through responses to questions.</p> <p>2 mark answer: Clear outline of one feature of self-report method Possible answers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses questions to obtain responses from participants • Likert and semantic rating scales can be used to get opinions from participants <p>1 mark answer: Identification of one feature Possible answers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses questions • Involves scales <p>0 marks: No creditworthy response</p>	2	<p>Three ways to outline feature of self-report (award 2 marks): 1 general comment on question and response, e.g. uses questions to obtain responses from participants 2 outline of two features of questionnaires or interviews e.g. open/ closed questions, types of scales: semantic/ Likert, structure/unstructured interviews, 3 two methods of data collection outlined e.g. questionnaires and interviews</p>
21	(a)	<p>Detailed response of sample clearly contextualised Possible answers: Age, Gender, Number, Target Population.</p> <p>2 mark answer: Clear details provided in context Possible answer: 20 participants aged 16-19 with a range of happiness levels</p> <p>1 mark answer: Clear details provided but not in context</p>	2	<p>-Context = happy, happiness, comedy, emotion etc</p> <p>Wide range of ages, gender, ethnicity, etc. award 1 mark.</p>

		<p>OR attempt to provide details in context Possible answer: The participants in this study on happiness should be varied in age and ethnicity.</p> <p>0 marks: No creditworthy response</p>		
21	(b)	<p>Clear outline of how sample would be recruited using the self-selected sampling technique. i.e. what researcher would do to recruit the participants, how the researcher would select participants from those who have volunteered, in context</p> <p>3 mark answer: Clear outline in context</p> <p>2 mark answer: Clear outline but not in context OR Attempted outline in context</p> <p>1 mark answer: Brief and/or weak attempt to outline how self-selected sampling could be used (whether in context or not)</p> <p>0 marks: No creditworthy response</p>	3	<p>Context = happy, happiness, comedy, emotion etc</p> <p>Self-selected sampling can use posters, adverts, social media, mailshot, etc.</p>
21	(c)	<p>Weakness identified, elaborated/explained, contextualised Answers could include: bias (e.g. only happy people respond); problems obtaining a representative sample as researcher limited in choice by type of people who respond, etc.</p> <p>3 mark answer: Clear outline in context</p> <p>2 mark answer: Clear outline but not in context</p>	Max 3	<p>Context = happy, happiness, comedy, emotion etc</p> <p>Not generalisable/representative without any link to self-selected sampling technique award 1 mark whether in context or not.</p> <p>Demand characteristics is not creditworthy.</p>

		<p>OR Attempted outline in context</p> <p>1 mark answer: Brief and/or weak attempt to outline of weakness of the use of self-selected sampling (whether in context or not)</p> <p>0 marks: No creditworthy response</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
22		Max = 15	-Context = happy, happiness, comedy, emotion etc

Level of response	Details of required features (RFs) included	Justification of decisions made	Reference to own practical work
Good 12-15 marks	- All 4 required features (RFs) addressed in context -Accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding of each feature in context - Good evidence of application of required features in context	- Appropriate justification of all decisions and <i>some</i> is contextualised -Well developed line of reasoning that is clear and logically structured	- Explicit reference to own practical work and clear links between own work and the planned research, e.g. specific mention of question/scales used or extraneous variables controlled -If there is no explicit clear link between own practical work and <i>any</i> of the 4 required features caps the mark at 11 maximum.
Reasonable 8-11 marks	-At least 3 required features in context -Reasonably accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding of each feature	- Some appropriate justification of decision related to required features (if no justification in context award 8 marks) -There was a line of reasoning evident with some structure	RF1- Good – two closed questions with response categories. Reasonable – not identifying the RF as a closed question. Limited – one closed question with response categories. Basic – one or two questions that could be answered as closed questions.
Limited 4-7 marks	-At least two of the required features addressed in context - Limited application of required features OR three or all four required features referred to but in a limited way	- Attempt to justify decision(s) but weak -Evidence of some structure, but weak	RF2 Good – two open questions and identified as open questions. Reasonable – not identifying the open question. Limited – one open question. Basic – unclear open question(s).
	If one required feature addressed in detail and justified in context and explicit links made to own practical work award 4 marks		RF3 Good – the questions correctly identified as Likert and semantic differential. One Likert scale statement (with fully labelled scale showing strength of agreement/disagreement) and one semantic differential scale question (clearly labelled/how to complete). Reasonable – one of the questions is unclear/labelling is unclear. Limited – Both of the questions are unclear/labelling is unclear. Basic – Rating scales are given (which are neither Likert nor semantic differential).
Basic 1-3 marks	-At least one of the required features addressed - Weak application of required features	- None , or if present very weak	RF4 – Good – the self-report can be reliably administered e.g. 1. whether it is an interview/questionnaire 2. if the participant does the questionnaire at home or in front of the researcher 3. how the participant submits the questionnaire/how the interview is recorded.
	OR more than one of the required features referred to but in a very brief and/or basic way		

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
23		<p>Likely answers could include: dishonesty (lowering validity); demand characteristics (lowering validity); comprehension of questions asked (affecting reliability and / or validity) etc</p> <p>For each weakness:</p> <p>2 mark answer: Clear outline of weakness in context</p> <p>1 mark answer: Clear outline of weakness but not in context OR attempted outline of weakness in context</p> <p>0 marks: No creditworthy response</p>	Max 2+2	<p>-Context = happy, happiness, comedy, emotion etc</p> <p>Identification of weakness in context award 1 mark Identification of weakness not in context award 0 marks</p> <p>A weakness that is specific to a type of question (e.g. rating scale) that is not a weakness for all self-reports is not creditworthy.</p>
24	(a)	<p>Clear focus on technique, i.e. identification of sampling technique, description of how this technique is used to select the participants, contextualised. Description of the features of the sample is not creditworthy on its own.</p> <p>3 mark answer: Clear outline in context</p> <p>2 mark answer: Clear outline but not in context OR Attempted outline in context</p> <p>1 mark answer: Brief and/or weak attempted outline (whether in context or not)</p> <p>0 marks: No creditworthy response</p>	3	<p>-Context = theme for candidates own selected practical activity OR specific location/target population of participants.</p>

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
24	(b)	<p>Identification and elaboration of strength plus context</p> <p>Clear focus on technique not on features of sample</p> <p>3 mark answer: Clear outline of strength in context</p> <p>2 mark answer: Clear outline of strength but not in context OR Attempted outline of strength in context</p> <p>1 mark answer: Brief and/or weak attempt to outline strength (whether in context or not)</p> <p>0 marks: No creditworthy response</p>	3	<p>-Context = theme for candidates own selected practical activity or specific location/target population of participants.</p>

Section C: Data analysis and interpretation

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
25	(a)	<p>Total $14, 21, 32, 28, 20, 8, 26, 12, 22, 18, 20, 21 = 242 / 12$ Mean = 20.16666 So to 3 SFs = 20.2</p> <p>1 mark for correct working 1 mark for correct mean 1 mark for correctly stating to 3 significant figures</p> <p>0 marks: No creditworthy response</p>	3	
25	(b)	<p>Order: 10, 10, 12, 12, 16, <u>18, 19</u>, 21, 23, 24, 24, 24 Median = 18.5 Range = $24 - 10 = 14$ OR $24 - 10 = 14 + 1 = 15$</p> <p>1 mark for ordering data correctly 1 mark for identifying median correctly 1 mark for calculating range correctly</p> <p>0 marks: No creditworthy response</p>	3	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
26	<p>Answer = 25% less food consumed when eyes on fridge compared to when no eyes on fridge</p> <p>Workings ...</p> <p>8 items less when eyes on fridge (32-24)</p> <p>8 as a percentage of 32 = $8/32 \times 100 = 25\%$</p> <p>3 mark answer: Percentage correctly stated with full workings shown</p> <p>2 mark answer: Percentage correctly stated with some workings shown OR Percentage only shown (no workings)</p> <p>1 mark answer: Partial workings shown e.g. 8/32 or 32-24</p> <p>0 mark answer: No credit worthy response</p>	3	

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
27	(a)	<p>There was more variation in the consumption of snacks when eyes were not on the fridge compared to when they were. This informs us that having eyes on the fridge has a fairly consistent effect on the amount of food consumed across the different participants. Without eyes on the fridge there is more variation, which informs us that some people may be better than others at restricting taking food from the fridge.</p> <p>3 mark answer: Clear explanation in context</p> <p>2 mark answer: Clear explanation, but not in context OR Attempted explanation in context</p> <p>1 mark answer: Brief and/or weak explanation (whether in context or not)</p> <p>0 mark answer: No credit worthy response</p>	3	Context = food, snacks, eat(ing), fridge etc

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
27	(b)	<p>The standard deviation is the square root of the variance. The advantage of this is that the answer is a figure that is much more typical of the actual difference (actual data collected) in the amount of snacking taking place with or without eyes on the fridge. The variance is a much bigger (untypical) figure as it is the sum of the differences in each individual's snacking compared to the mean which is then squared (squaring makes the answer a much bigger figure).</p> <p>3 mark answer: Clear outline in context</p> <p>2 mark answer: Clear outline, but not in context OR Attempted outline in context</p> <p>1 mark answer: Brief and/or weak outline (whether in context or not)</p> <p>0 mark answer: No credit worthy response</p>	3	<p>Context = food, snacks, eat(ing), fridge etc</p> <p>Responses that refer to the standard deviation as more valid/accurate than the variance are not creditworthy.</p>

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance																																																																																																								
28	<p style="text-align: center;">Answer T = 5</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Workings</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="360 344 1261 869"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">p</th> <th colspan="6">Number of times snacks taken from fridge during one week</th> </tr> <tr> <th>(a) Without eyes on fridge door</th> <th>(b) With eyes on fridge door</th> <th>Diff (a – b)</th> <th>Ranks of diffs</th> <th>Sum of ranks of neg' diffs</th> <th>Sum of ranks of pos' diffs</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>a</td><td>14</td><td>10</td><td>4</td><td>7</td><td></td><td>7</td></tr> <tr><td>b</td><td>21</td><td>18</td><td>3</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>5</td></tr> <tr><td>c</td><td>32</td><td>24</td><td>8</td><td>10</td><td></td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>d</td><td>28</td><td>24</td><td>4</td><td>7</td><td></td><td>7</td></tr> <tr><td>e</td><td>20</td><td>16</td><td>4</td><td>7</td><td></td><td>7</td></tr> <tr><td>f</td><td>8</td><td>10</td><td>-2</td><td>3.5</td><td>3.5</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>g</td><td>26</td><td>24</td><td>2</td><td>3.5</td><td></td><td>3.5</td></tr> <tr><td>h</td><td>12</td><td>12</td><td>0</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>i</td><td>22</td><td>23</td><td>-1</td><td>1.5</td><td>1.5</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>j</td><td>18</td><td>12</td><td>6</td><td>9</td><td></td><td>9</td></tr> <tr><td>k</td><td>20</td><td>19</td><td>1</td><td>1.5</td><td></td><td>1.5</td></tr> <tr><td>l</td><td>21</td><td>21</td><td>0</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>$\Sigma r = 5$</td> <td>$\Sigma r = 50$</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>1 mark for finding difference a-b 1 mark for ranking differences 1 mark for sum of negative ranks 1 mark for sum of positive ranks 1 mark for correct identification of T</p>	p	Number of times snacks taken from fridge during one week						(a) Without eyes on fridge door	(b) With eyes on fridge door	Diff (a – b)	Ranks of diffs	Sum of ranks of neg' diffs	Sum of ranks of pos' diffs	a	14	10	4	7		7	b	21	18	3	5		5	c	32	24	8	10		10	d	28	24	4	7		7	e	20	16	4	7		7	f	8	10	-2	3.5	3.5		g	26	24	2	3.5		3.5	h	12	12	0	-			i	22	23	-1	1.5	1.5		j	18	12	6	9		9	k	20	19	1	1.5		1.5	l	21	21	0	-								$\Sigma r = 5$	$\Sigma r = 50$	5	Allow Diff to be b-a
p	Number of times snacks taken from fridge during one week																																																																																																										
	(a) Without eyes on fridge door	(b) With eyes on fridge door	Diff (a – b)	Ranks of diffs	Sum of ranks of neg' diffs	Sum of ranks of pos' diffs																																																																																																					
a	14	10	4	7		7																																																																																																					
b	21	18	3	5		5																																																																																																					
c	32	24	8	10		10																																																																																																					
d	28	24	4	7		7																																																																																																					
e	20	16	4	7		7																																																																																																					
f	8	10	-2	3.5	3.5																																																																																																						
g	26	24	2	3.5		3.5																																																																																																					
h	12	12	0	-																																																																																																							
i	22	23	-1	1.5	1.5																																																																																																						
j	18	12	6	9		9																																																																																																					
k	20	19	1	1.5		1.5																																																																																																					
l	21	21	0	-																																																																																																							
					$\Sigma r = 5$	$\Sigma r = 50$																																																																																																					

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
----------	--------	-------	----------

29	(a)	<p>Table critical value = 8</p> <p>2 mark answer: Critical value correctly stated</p> <p>1 mark answer: Correct sample size used (10) but wrong critical value quoted</p> <p>0 mark answer: No creditworthy response</p>	2	
29	(b)	<p>$T = 5$, $n = 10$, $p < 0.05$</p> <p>OR In words ... e.g. the null hypothesis (i.e. that there is no difference in amount of food consumed with eyes on fridge compared to without) is rejected as the calculated value is less than the critical value. There is a significant finding/the hypothesis is accepted (eyes on fridge <i>does</i> make a difference to amount of food consumed).</p> <p>2 mark answer: Correctly written significance statement (calculated value, sample size and probability level) OR written in words rather than a formal statement</p>	Max 2	<p>Context = food, snacks, eat(ing), fridge etc OR the correct numerical data (e.g. $T=5$).</p> <p>1 mark for correctly saying null rejected, hypothesis accepted.</p>
		<p>1 mark answer: just stating $p < 0.05$</p> <p>OR weak and/or brief written response</p> <p>0 mark answer: No creditworthy response</p>		

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
29	(c)	<p>Answers could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Population/scores normally distributed (68% of our data should be less than ± 1 standard deviation around the mean) • At least interval/ratio (continuous) data • No extreme scores <p>1 mark per criteria: Appropriate criteria identified</p> <p>0 marks: No creditworthy response</p>	2	
30		<p>Answer could include: low generalisability as participants could be all quite well known to each other (contacts of contacts), so all similar (e.g. all big or small snackers etc); high generalisability as sample could have been diverse as snowball sampling potentially allows access to individuals not known to the researcher, so may have a variety of different snacking habits etc</p> <p>3 mark answer: Clear outline of way sampling technique could have affected generalisability of the data in context.</p> <p>2 mark answer: Clear outline of way sampling technique could have affected generalisability of the data, but not in context OR Attempted outline of way sampling technique could have affected generalisability of the data in context</p> <p>1 mark answer:</p>	3	Context = food, snacks, eat(ing), fridge etc

			<p>Brief and/or weak to outline way sampling technique could have affected generalisability of the data (whether in context or not)</p> <p>0 mark answer: No credit worthy response</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	--

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
31	(a)	<p>Answer could include: ability to analyse data about snacking more easily; can compare across conditions and individual participants easily etc</p> <p>3 mark answer: Clear outline of advantage in context</p> <p>2 mark answer: Clear outline of advantage, but not in context OR Attempted outline of advantage in context</p> <p>1 mark answer: Brief and/or weak to outline advantage (whether in context or not)</p> <p>0 mark answer: No credit worthy response</p>	3	Context = food, snacks, eat(ing), fridge etc
31	(b)	<p>Answer could include: no reasons for why snacks consumed obtained</p> <p>3 mark answer: Clear outline of disadvantage in context</p> <p>2 mark answer: Clear outline of disadvantage, but not in context OR Attempted outline of disadvantage in context</p>	3	Context = food, snacks, eat(ing), fridge etc

			<p>1 mark answer: Brief and/or weak to outline disadvantage (whether in context or not)</p> <p>0 mark answer: No credit worthy response</p>		
--	--	--	---	--	--

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
The Triangle Building
Shaftesbury Road
Cambridge
CB2 8EA

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998

Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored