



**ELC**

**Child Development**

Entry Level Certificate **R350**

**OCR Report to Centres June 2017**

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS / A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching / training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This report on the examination provides information on the performance of candidates which it is hoped will be useful to teachers in their preparation of candidates for future examinations. It is intended to be constructive and informative and to promote better understanding of the specification content, of the operation of the scheme of assessment and of the application of assessment criteria.

Reports should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and mark schemes for the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this report.

© OCR 2017

## **CONTENTS**

**Entry Level Certificate**

**Child Development (R350)**

## **OCR REPORT TO CENTRES**

<b>Content</b>	<b>Page</b>
R350 Child Development	4

## R350 Child Development

### General Comments

All candidates undertook suitable tasks and were able to achieve appropriate levels of attainment for this qualification. Candidates undertook the two Short Tasks where they focused upon demonstrating different practical skills and knowledge. The majority submitted one Development Task where they planned the task that enabled them to assess a variety of skills through research, planning, practical work and evaluation.

The majority of candidates carried out correct procedures, and there was good evidence of work and written results of outcomes throughout the three tasks. The outcomes were usually comprised of safety, leaflets, books or meals, and many supported these with digital photographs. Most candidates had been assessed at the correct level.

Where candidates had received extra support, for example, by a teaching assistant providing extra guidance and help, this was highlighted on the portfolio Unit Recording Sheet. This is considered to illustrate best practice. Where candidates gave oral answers or discussions with members of staff, good practice was seen by these being recorded and annotation on the cover sheets.

Where photographs of children are included as part of the evidence, care must always be taken to maintain confidentiality.

### Short Tasks

The planning was mainly simple but logical and included pictures, draft layouts and tabulated charts. Flowcharts were often used for food tasks.

A summary of what candidates discovered from their work should be included in the evaluation. It should include comments on strengths and weaknesses and how and why these helped the candidates to reach their conclusions. Some vague or superficial statements were evident which should be awarded Level 1 response.

However, best practice was seen where candidates referenced the whole task when planning their work. When questionnaires were used, only one copy was included with appropriate conclusions.

Outcomes were in the form of leaflets, displays and food dishes. Increasingly ICT was used to enhance the presentation and outcome of work by the use of a variety of software programmes.

Good practice was provided in the planning and conclusions sections as responses were relevant to the task and not generalised. This supported the points raised and could be related specifically to the work.

Adequate annotation was provided and in addition this included how much help and guidance had been offered to each candidate.

### Developmental Task

Most candidates appeared to find the Developmental Task more demanding than the Short Tasks. Many candidates were able to show some knowledge of the areas of development, and had an understanding of developmental progress. Candidates adhered to the criteria aiming their work at a child between the ages of 0 to 5 years.

*OCR Report to Centres – June 2017*

At the higher end of the mark range there was some good work that was supported by written comments and annotated photographs, clearly showing how marks had been awarded. Good practice was seen in the recording of candidate oral responses by teachers where no written evidence was available. This supported the moderator as it justified why the marks have been awarded.

Candidates were able to emphasise the area of development that they had focused upon and gave reasons for this selection.

In the research section good practice was seen with candidates including details of how their item met the task requirements. Candidates then went on to name their skills and how those helped them complete their chosen task in the relevant area of development.

Candidates provided a full description of what was being produced; health and safety points were addressed. A number of candidates were given direct help and guidance in the planning section to enable them to produce a worthwhile plan which was important in helping them achieve a positive outcome. This is considered acceptable as it encourages candidates to gain accreditation.

The allocation of marks in the conclusions and evaluation section is 15, and this was reflected in the work. Conclusions were relevant to the task with clear evidence of how the task worked and the suitability for the child or children.

Those candidates who performed well had tested their item with an intended user. Candidates who undertook such testing were able to produce a conclusion with tangible evidence to support their comments.

There were a variety of pro-formas and writing frames to aid candidates and most candidates made use of them appropriately. These assisted the candidates and supported them in maximising their achievement. Where candidates had needed to use pro-formas, most centres had correctly taken this into account when giving marks for independent work.

**OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)**  
**1 Hills Road**  
**Cambridge**  
**CB1 2EU**

**OCR Customer Contact Centre**

**Education and Learning**

Telephone: 01223 553998

Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: [general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk](mailto:general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk)

[www.ocr.org.uk](http://www.ocr.org.uk)

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

**Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations**  
is a Company Limited by Guarantee  
Registered in England  
Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU  
Registered Company Number: 3484466  
OCR is an exempt Charity

**OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)**  
Head office  
Telephone: 01223 552552  
Facsimile: 01223 552553

© OCR 2017

