



Moderators' Report/ Principal Moderator Feedback

January 2019

Pearson Edexcel GCSE
Extended Projects Qualification
in Performance (P201) Paper 01

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for all papers can be found on the website at:

<https://qualifications.pearson.com/en/support/support-topics/results-certification/grade-boundaries.html>

January 2019

Publications Code P201_01_1901_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2019

Suitability of work submitted

The Higher Project qualification requires that students submit evidence for four assessment objectives.

Students need to select, plan and carry out a project that uses relevant skills and methods to reach their project objectives. During the development of their project students need to obtain, select and use relevant information sources from a range of sources and, where appropriate, from both primary and secondary sources in order to complete their project outcome.

The students are given the best opportunity to produce relevant evidence for the qualification if they are supported in choosing a research question to address or a design brief or commission to complete that requires research to take place that is relevant to the project outcome. Centres are asked to note that, if research questions are too broad, it becomes difficult for students to focus their time on addressing all required areas of the question, therefore, centres are advised to ensure that research questions chosen by students are narrowed down and have sufficient focus to allow completion of the project within the 60 guided learning hours suggested for the qualification.

For AO1 students need to supply a completed project proposal form and activity log that is focused on the requirements to plan and manage the project.

To access marks in mark band 2 the students need to describe any problems encountered and how they were overcome. The activity log should chart the progress of the project and relate back to the main activities cited in section 3 of the project proposal form.

Both the project proposal form and the activity log should be supplied on the relevant Edexcel paperwork that is available to download from the Edexcel project website.

For AO2 students need to demonstrate that they have gathered and used resources that are appropriate to their project title and these resources should be clearly identified in a bibliography that would allow the sources to be fully retrievable. Resources should be relevant to the project objectives. Students should be encouraged to comment on the reliability and usefulness of their sources.

For AO3 the students need to develop and realise their intended project outcome. This can be done in the form of a written report, an investigation, an artefact or a performance. Ideas need to be developed that show some understanding of the topic and some evidence of alternative points of view / design should be seen. The resultant work should be logically sequenced and show coherence. The student should demonstrate a clear understanding of the project outcome and how this was attained.

AO4 requires students to review both the process and the outcome of their project showing what skills and knowledge were developed and ideas for follow up work. They should assess how well they managed and performed and these comments should incorporate feedback from others.

The most successful project titles were those that stated a clear research question that was sufficiently focussed to allow completion within the prescribed time allowance or a design brief or commission for the student to investigate and or make and ones that also gave scope for argument and counter-argument or discussion of choices for designs / scenery / performances. The least successful titles were those that gave a statement to investigate, as opposed to a research question to investigate or a design brief to fulfil. Such titles did not allow students to focus their research skills on the development of an alternative argument or opinion.

However, most students in this series submitted work that showed a very interesting range of successful project titles spanning across curriculum areas and areas of students' interests. In the higher graded work, several candidates showed skills that demonstrated ability and skills expected at level 3. This is pleasing to report.

Student Performance

The written report format was seen to be most successful when students chose a project title in the form of a question and then set out to gather relevant sources of data to address their chosen question. By posing a question to research students could provide their own viewpoint and then look at a range of sources of information to prove or disprove their views. Conclusions were drawn and comments made on the reliability and validity of both primary and secondary sources. The most successful written reports seen in this series were those where the student carried out a review of their research sources and then entered into a discussion, using their sources, to report on their project question. In this series, the referencing of sources found and used to develop projects was generally of a reasonable standard and, in the higher marked work, of a very pleasing standard approaching that expected at level 3.

No artefact projects were moderated in this series at level 2.

Assessment

The project proposal form is an important part of the assessment evidence and should not be completed hastily. It is recommended, where possible, that it is typed on computer, allowing the proposal form to evolve with the project. Section 3, where students need to give thoughtful ideas for the main activities that they need to carry out to complete their project outcome and relevant timescales, is of particular importance. Where this is completed in a non-specific and hurried manner it is not possible for the student to show how they have followed their agreed plan for their activities to complete their project therefore restricting access to marks in mark band 2 for AO1. The activity log should chart the course of the progress for the specific project and comments should be made on progress that link back to the proposed timescales for the main activities that are provided in section 3 of the proposal form. Lack of depth in the proposal form and lack of monitoring of progress in the activity log also restricts perceptive comments being made for parts of AO4.

Where candidates seem unclear as to the meaning of an objective they would benefit from some further guidance here before completing their proposal form.

It is not necessary for candidates to include photocopies of all their secondary research material.

Centres need to ensure that all students are supported in providing a review of their project work that addresses all the requirements for AO4 and does not just focus on the actual project outcome. This evidence should review the project process including a review of the students own learning and performance, stating which objectives were or were not met and why, giving a description of skills and knowledge developed and learnt during the project and also giving ideas for follow up work. Reviews can be supported by peer review where appropriate.

Centre Performance

The Level 2 Project is a qualification that attracts 60 GLH and students need to be given a sufficient amount of time (at least 20GLH) to develop their skills and knowledge relevant to their area of study. It is recommended that centres use at least this number of guided learning hours to actually teach the relevant research skills that the students will need to develop their project successfully. Most centres are now directing the students to provide clear bibliographies of all sources used.

Although an oral presentation is not a mandatory requirement for AO4 at level 2, it does provide information that can very usefully be used by the students in judging their own performance and how well they have managed. Where this is not conducted students would still benefit from a discussion with the tutor / assessor about how well they have conducted their project and, again, this information could be used for evidence towards AO4.

At level 2 assessors can award an extra mark for each assessment objective if the student has worked fairly independently. Centres are asked to justify the awarding of this mark and to denote it in the assessment column as the mark for the evidence such as, for example 5, then +1 to denote the extra mark for independent working, not just a 6 which does not show the extra mark is awarded.

In this series student achievement and centre assessment demonstrated a high level of competency. Centres are, generally, seen to be applying the mark bands and points in the assessment grid to their awarding of marks for student evidence in an accurate and supportive manner. This is pleasing to report.

