



Moderators' Report/ Principal Moderator Feedback

January 2019

Pearson Edexcel GCSE
Extended Projects Qualification
in Performance (P101) Paper 01

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for all papers can be found on the website at:

<https://qualifications.pearson.com/en/support/support-topics/results-certification/grade-boundaries.html>

January 2019

Publications Code P101_01_1901_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2019

P101 Level 1 Foundation Project

Suitability of work submitted

Foundation projects seen this series were in the form of either a written report or artifacts. It was pleasing to see a variety of topics at this level. Centers used appropriate documentation to support achievement and assessment of each Assessment Objective.

Student Performance

The Level 1 Foundation Project qualification requires Students to select and plan a project. Students are required to record the activities that they undertake during the project, obtain information from a range of sources, apply the information to the project and present this information in an appropriate format. Students also need to review their project and their own performance.

For assessment objective 1, all Students were able to select a suitable project topic. Better projects identified a question or design brief and went on to plan the intended project outcomes. Project Proposal Forms were completed with clear timescales and resources required however, the completion of mile stones was limited. Centre assessors are advised to ensure these sections of the Project Proposal Form are fully completed. Activity logs were detailed and included in all the work sampled at this level.

Projects seen this series provided a clear rational for the choice of their project. Students should be encouraged to include the full range of resources required for the project. Signing off milestones confirming the scope of the project was deemed to be limited this series.

Assessment objective 2, requires Students to demonstrate some ability to obtain information, this element was evidence well presented in the better portfolios. However, in the weaker Portfolios, this was not always relevant to the project title.

Assessment objective 3 has the highest weighting of marks for the Foundation Project. Students performed better compared to last series. Evidence submitted for this assessment objective clearly supported Centre assessment for assessment objective.

Assessment objective 4, Students are required to generate a review of the project. Performance in this assessment objective was slightly better than last series. Students reflected on the objectives in the Project Proposal Form, allowing scope to fully develop the review, including giving clear ideas for follow up work to access the full range of marks in the assessment grid.

Assessment

Overall, Centers' demonstrated a better level of understanding of the assessment requirements. Assessors are advised to check and refer to the assessment grid for the evidence requirements of each mark band before awarding marks against the evidence submitted by each Student.

Centers used the correct teacher assessment form to give feedback to the Students and to annotate the marks awarded for each assessment objective. However, the annotation of the evidence was sometimes limited. Marks for independence were much better evidenced this series.

Best assessment practice was evident where Centres implemented internal verification of assessment to ensure that marks awarded to the Students were supported by the evidence provided by the Students. This was particularly important where more than one assessor was involved in the delivery and assessment of the qualification.

Centre Performance

The required number of Project samples was submitted by Centres in this series.

All Centres sampled this series used the correct Project documentation. Annotation of the evidence submitted by Students was focused for each Assessment Objective.

